A nomogram based on PI-RADS v2.1 and clinical indicators for predicting clinically significant prostate cancer in the transition zone
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Background: This study attempted to develop a nomogram for predicting clinically significant prostate cancer (cs-PCa) in the transition zone (TZ) with the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2.1 (PI-RADS v2.1) score based on biparametric magnetic resonance imaging (bp-MRI) and clinical indicators.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 383 patients with suspicious prostate lesions in the TZ as a training cohort and 128 patients as the validation cohort from January 2015 to March 2020. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine independent predictors for building a nomogram, and the performance of the nomogram was assessed by the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC), the calibration curve and decision curve.

Results: The PI-RADS v2.1 score and prostate-specific antigen density (PSAD) were independent predictors of TZ cs-PCa. The prediction model had a significantly higher AUC (0.936) than the individual predictors (0.914 for PI-RADS v2.1 score, P=0.045, 0.842 for PSAD, P<0.001). The nomogram showed good discrimination (AUC of 0.936 in the training cohort and 0.963 in the validation cohort) and favorable calibration. When the PI-RADS v2.1 score was combined with PSAD, the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were 80.7% and 93.8%, respectively, which were better than those of the PI-RADS v2.1 score (sensitivity, 74.2%; specificity, 92.5%) and PSAD (sensitivity, 66.1%; specificity, 88.2%).

Conclusions: The newly constructed nomogram exhibits satisfactory predictive accuracy and consistency for TZ cs-PCa. PI-RADS v2.1 based on bp-MRI is a strong predictor in the detection of TZ cs-PCa. Adding PSAD to PI-RADS v2.1 could improve its diagnostic performance, thereby avoiding unnecessary biopsies.
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Introduction

Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mp-MRI) is the most reliable noninvasive imaging technology for the diagnosis and evaluation of prostate cancer (PCa). The Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 (PI-RADS v2) was released to improve the reporting standardization of mp-MRI of the prostate (1). In recent years, a simplified biparametric MRI (bp-MRI) comprising T2-weighted imaging (T2WI) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) has been proposed (2), with the advantages of decreasing the examination time and avoiding potential adverse effects of gadolinium-based contrast agents (3,4). Thus, the bp-MRI protocol is increasingly being used for the detection of PCa, especially clinically significant prostate cancer (cs-PCa, defined as Gleason score ≥7) (5).

Nevertheless, the moderate interreader agreement using PI-RADS v2 has hindered its widespread application (6). The majority of PCa cases arise in the peripheral zone (PZ), and less than 30% of cases are located in the transition zone (TZ) (7). As the detection of PCa by MRI in the TZ is susceptible to being affected by benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and other factors, the numbers of false-positive and false-negative cases are high, resulting in high tumor misdiagnosis rates in the TZ. Related literature has demonstrated that interreader agreement with PI-RADS v2 in the TZ is lower than that in the PZ (8,9). To address this limitation, PI-RADS version 2.1 was proposed, which made a few modifications for typical and atypical nodules in the TZ to improve the performance of TZ lesion categorization (10,11). A new study confirmed some improvements of PI-RADS v2.1 over PI-RADS v2 in diagnostic performance and interreader agreement. Nevertheless, the diagnostic sensitivity of using PI-RADS v2.1 alone at the best cutoff value of 4 for cs-PCA in the TZ was 63.0%, resulting in a poor diagnostic performance for TZ lesions (12). Hence, it is necessary to combine the PI-RADS v2.1 score with other clinical indicators [e.g., patient age, serum total prostate-specific antigen (t-PSA), percent free PSA, PSA density (PSAD) and prostate volume (PV)] to comprehensively diagnose TZ lesions. Previous studies have shown that the PI-RADS v2 score in combination with multiple clinical indicators can significantly improve the diagnostic efficacy of cs-PCa (13-15). To the best of our knowledge, few published studies have focused on a combination of the PI-RADS v2.1 score with clinical indicators to improve the diagnostic value of TZ cs-PCa.

Nomograms are useful computational tools for the visualization of models, the graphical assessment of variable importance and the calculation of predicted values, and they have been used in a variety of tumors, including PCa (16,17). The aim of this study was to establish a nomogram incorporating the PI-RADS v2.1 score based on bp-MRI and clinical factors that could be used to predict the probability of TZ cs-PCa.

We present the following article in accordance with the TRIPOD reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-49).

Methods

Study population

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional ethics board of our hospital (No.: JD-HG-2021-21) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. We retrospectively identified 988 consecutive patients who underwent biparametric MRI, and pathological results were obtained by systematic transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided prostate biopsy and/or MRI-TRUS fusion targeted biopsy between January 2015 and March 2020 in our institution. All patients had either elevated PSA levels or abnormal findings on digital rectal examination (DRE). After excluding 414 patients who had a dominant lesion in the PZ, 574 eligible patients who had a dominant lesion in the TZ were included in this study. The selection of the dominant lesion was based on the highest PI-RADS v2 category according to the original MRI interpretation. In addition, 63 patients met the exclusion criteria, so the remaining 511 patients were enrolled and randomly divided into 383 patients in the training cohort and 128 patients in the validation cohort. The study population flowchart with the exclusion criteria is shown in Figure 1.

Bp-MRI acquisition protocol

All bp-MRI examinations were performed using a 32-channel body phased-array coil on a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Philips Ingenia, The Netherlands). According to the PI-RADS v2.1 criteria, the acquisition protocol included the following sequences: a high-resolution T2WI sequence acquired with axial (repetition time, 3,000 ms; echo time, 100 ms; field of view, 220 mm × 220 mm; slice thickness, 3 mm; no slice gap) and sagittal (repetition time, 4,978 ms;
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988 Consecutive patients underwent prostate biparametric MRI examination from January 2015 to March 2020. Prostatic lesions were confirmed by systematic transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided prostate biopsy and/or MRI-TRUS fusion targeted biopsy.

Exclusion criteria (n=414)
- Patients who had a dominant lesion in the peripheral zone

574 Eligible patients who had a dominant lesion in the transition zone

Exclusion criteria (n=63)
- (a) Patients underwent biopsy or therapies before MRI examination (n=7)
- (b) Patients had an incomplete or inconsistent biparametric MRI protocol (n=21)
- (c) Patients had low image quality or severe image artifacts (n=14)
- (d) Patients had incomplete clinical information (n=21)

511 Patients finally enrolled in the study

Training cohort (n=383)
Validation cohort (n=128)

Figure 1 Flowchart of the study population with the exclusion criteria.

echo time, 100 ms; field of view, 240 mm × 180 mm; slice thickness, 1.5 mm; slice gap, 0.15 mm) orientations. DWI sequences with an axial orientation (repetition time, 6,000 ms; echo time, 77 ms; field of view, 260 mm × 260 mm; slice thickness, 3 mm; no slice gap) and multiple b values (b=0, 100, 1,000, 2,000 s/mm²) were acquired, and b=100 and b=2000 s/mm² were used for the visual assessment and analysis of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map axial images. The MRI scanning range included the prostate gland and the bilateral seminal vesicles.

PI-RADS assessment category

According to the PI-RADS v2.1 protocol (11), each bp-MRI image was independently reviewed by two experienced radiologists (radiologist 1 with 4 years of experience; radiologist 2 with 8 years of experience) who were blinded to the pathological results and clinical information. For the evaluation of the TZ lesions, T2WI plays a dominant role, while DWI is only a secondary sequence.
Collection of clinical information

Clinical data, including patient age, t-PSA, percent free PSA, PV and PSAD, were collected and assessed. For the measurement of PV on MRI, PI-RADS v2.1 recommends that the maximum anteroposterior diameter (APD) and longitudinal diameter (LD) be measured on midsagittal T2WI while the maximum transverse diameter (TD) measured on the axial T2WI. PV was estimated as follows:

\[
PV = (\text{maximum APD}) \times (\text{maximum TD}) \times (\text{maximum LD}) \times 0.52 \quad (11, 18).
\]

PSAD was calculated by the level of t-PSA divided by the PV (PSAD = t-PSA/PV) (18).

Pathology

All patients underwent a 10-core systematic TRUS-guided prostate biopsy. In addition, MRI-TRUS fusion targeted biopsy was used for suspicious PCa lesions on MRI and 2–3 targeted cores were added for these lesions. MRI-TRUS fusion targeted biopsy was performed using an ESAOTE Mylab Twice color Doppler ultrasound device equipped with a real-time virtual sonography (RVS) imaging fusion system. The ultrasonic probe model was an EC-123,7.5-MHz transrectal end-fire probe (EsaoteSpA, Genova, Italy). The prostate biopsy procedure was performed by a senior urologist with over 5 years of experience. The specimens were assessed by experienced pathologists in our institution according to the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) 2014 updated Gleason score grading system (19,20).

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) 22.0 and MedCalc version 15.2.2 were used for the statistical analysis. Continuous variables with normal distributions were analyzed by the independent samples t-test and are expressed as the mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables or continuous variables with nonnormal distributions were analyzed using a nonparametric test and are presented as the median (interquartile ranges). The interreader agreement of the PI-RADS v2.1 score was evaluated by weighted Cohen’s kappa statistic. Kappa values of 0–0.20 were regarded as slight, 0.21–0.40 as fair, 0.41–0.60 as moderate, 0.61–0.80 as substantial, and 0.81–1 as almost perfect agreement. Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the significant independent predictors, and the areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUCs) were calculated and compared to determine the diagnostic performance of the variables for cs-PCa in the TZ. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Using R software (version 3.6.2, http://www.r-project.org/), a nomogram was newly constructed based on the independent predictors in the training cohort. The nomogram was validated based on the discrimination and calibration using the AUC and the calibration curve in the training and validation cohorts. A higher AUC showed a better discriminatory capacity for prediction outcomes. The calibration curve was used to compare the nomogram-predicted and actual outcomes. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Decision curve analysis was conducted to determine the clinical usefulness of the newly constructed nomogram by quantifying the clinical net benefits at different threshold probabilities in the training and validation cohorts. Decision curves were also plotted for the individual predictors and the prediction model, which were also performed using R software.

Results

Patient characteristics

The characteristics of all patients with TZ lesions in the training and validation cohorts are shown in Table 1. Overall, 511 patients were enrolled in this study (383 patients in the training cohort; 128 patients in the validation cohort). In the training cohort, 94 (24.5%) patients had a confirmed diagnosis of PCa, including 62 with cs-PCa and 32 with low-grade PCa (Gleason score 3+3). A total of 289 (75.5%) patients had noncancerous lesions (208 with BPH and 81 with acute or chronic prostatitis). In the validation cohort, there were 26 patients diagnosed with PCa (12 with cs-PCa and 14 with low-grade PCa) and 102 patients with noncancerous lesions (76 with BPH and 26 with acute or chronic prostatitis). In the training cohort, the P values of age, t-PSA, percent free PSA, PSAD, PV and PI-RADS v2.1 score between cs-PCa vs. low-grade PCa and noncancerous lesions were less than 0.001, indicating a significant difference between the two groups (P<0.05).

PI-RADS v2.1 score based on bp-MRI

The assessment of the PI-RADS v2.1 score between the
Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Training cohort</th>
<th>Validation cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TZ cs-PCa</td>
<td>low-grade TZ PCa and noncancerous lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>[67–79]</td>
<td>[63–75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-PSA, ng/mL</td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent free PSA</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>(0.08–0.16)</td>
<td>(0.12–0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAD, ng/mL/mL</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>(0.24–1.10)</td>
<td>(0.11–0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV, mL</td>
<td>43.76</td>
<td>64.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>(32.76–54.70)</td>
<td>(45.05–86.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 score</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (4.1)</td>
<td>47 (95.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (1.2)</td>
<td>168 (98.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 (12.8)</td>
<td>82 (87.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>16 (94.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45 (84.9)</td>
<td>8 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The number in parentheses are percentages; *P<0.05. t-PSA, total prostate-specific antigen; PSAD, prostate-specific antigen density; PV, prostate volume cs-PCa, clinically significant prostate cancer; PI-RADS v2.1, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System, version 2.1.

two radiologists is shown in Table 2. The weighted kappa value of the interreader agreement for the PI-RADS v2.1 score was 0.648, which indicated that the consistency was substantial.

**Multivariable logistic regression analysis of cs-PCa in the TZ**

Although these univariate indicators were significantly different between patients with cs-PCa vs. low-grade PCa and noncancerous lesions, it was not appropriate to directly integrate them into the multivariable model due to the strong correlations between several variables (f/t-PSA was derived from t-PSA. PSAD was calculated by dividing t-PSA by PV). To avoid incorporating a confounding factor and to better handle strongly correlated variables, the multivariable model eliminated t-PSA and PV with a smaller AUC (AUC<sub>t-PSA</sub> = 0.756, AUC<sub>PV</sub> = 0.299) than PSAD (AUC<sub>PSAD</sub> = 0.842). The final variables, including age, f/t-PSA, PSAD and PI-RADS v2.1 score, were incorporated into the multivariable logistic regression analysis, and then the independent predictors for cs-PCa in the TZ were determined. As shown in Table 3, the significant independent predictors for cs-PCa in the TZ were the PI-
RADS v2.1 score and PSAD (P<0.05).

**Construction and validation of a nomogram**

Based on the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD as independent predictors, a nomogram was constructed using the training cohort, and the risk for predicting cs-PCa in the TZ was calculated, as shown in Figure 2. The discrimination and calibration of the nomogram were validated in the training and validation cohorts. The AUCs of the nomogram were 0.936 in the training cohort (Figure 3A) and 0.963 in the validation cohort (Figure 3B), indicating that the model had good predictive accuracy. The calibration of the nomogram was internally performed by a calibration curve with bootstrap sampling (n=500). The calibration curve showed good consistency between the predicted probability of the model and the actual probability of TZ cs-PCa (Figure 3C). In addition, Figure 3D shows the comparison between the nomogram-predicted probability and the actual probability in the validation cohort, which indicated a good performance. The decision curve analysis is presented in Figure 3E,F and shows that the net benefits of the prediction model were higher than the individual predictors when the threshold probability exceeded 0.25 in the training cohort and 0.50 in the validation cohort.

Figures 4 and 5 show two patients with a PI-RADS v2.1 score of 3. Based on the PI-RADS v2.1 score alone, their risk of clinically significant prostate cancer is considered to be relatively low. Combined with PSAD, according to the prediction model of the nomogram, the risk of cs-PCa in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96-1.07</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent free PSA</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00-8.06</td>
<td>-3.598</td>
<td>2.900</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAD</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>1.25-20.2</td>
<td>1.612</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 score</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 [1]</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 [2]</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.04-5.78</td>
<td>-0.682</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 [3]</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.79-50.6</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 [4]</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.11-35.3</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 [5]</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>11.8-967.7</td>
<td>4.671</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05. PSAD, prostate specific antigen density; PI-RADS v2.1, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System, version 2.1; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; SE, standard error; Ref, reference.
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>PSAD</th>
<th>Pi-RADS v2.1 score</th>
<th>Total points</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Construction of a nomogram for predicting the probability of cs-PCa in the transition zone. cs-PCa, clinically significant prostate cancer.

Figure 4 The patient in Figure 4 was calculated as 0.05, and the risk in the patient in Figure 5 was approximately 0.30. According to the prediction results, the risk of having cs-PCa in the patient in Figure 5 is 6 times that of the patient shown in Figure 4. The pathological results confirmed that the patient in Figure 5 had cs-PCa with a Gleason score of 4+3.

Diagnostic value of the prediction model for cs-PCa in the TZ

The prediction model (combining PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD) showed the highest AUC (AUC =0.936), which was significantly different compared with those of the PI-RADS v2.1 score (AUC =0.914, P=0.045) and PSAD (AUC =0.842, P<0.001). The diagnostic values of the independent indicators and prediction model for cs-PCa in the TZ were evaluated and compared (Table 4). At the best threshold of 4, the PI-RADS v2.1 score had 74.2% sensitivity and 92.5% specificity for diagnosing cs-PCa in the TZ. However, the prediction model (a combination of PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD) had 80.7% sensitivity and 93.8% specificity for diagnosing TZ cs-PCa, indicating that adding PSAD to the PI-RADS v2.1 score could improve the diagnostic performance for cs-PCa in the TZ.

Discussion

The prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS), as a structured reporting system for prostate MRI, has been widely used in clinical research. Although PI-RADS v2 had prominent advantages over PI-RADS v1 in reducing ambiguity for reporting interpretations and optimizing interdisciplinary communication, it still had some limitations and inconsistencies, particularly related to the ambiguous characterization for the evaluation of TZ lesions, making it necessary to develop the latest version (PI-RADS v2.1) in 2019 (11). As a small-step improvement from PI-RADS v2, one of the main purposes of PI-RADS v2.1 was to further reduce variability in reporting interpretations to improve interreader agreement and the diagnostic performance for TZ lesions. According to our results, the interreader agreement for TZ lesions using the PI-RADS v2.1 protocol was substantial (kappa value of 0.648) and was higher than that for PI-RADS v2, which had only moderate interreader agreement (all kappa values were less than 0.600) (6,9,12,21).

For the assessment of the diagnostic performance of PI-RADS v2.1, the results from Byun et al. demonstrated that the sensitivity and specificity of PI-RADS v2.1 category ≥4 were 63.0% and 96.9%, respectively, for diagnosing TZ cs-PCa (12). In this study, our results showed that the PI-RADS v2.1 score was one of the independent predictors for cs-PCa in the TZ, and the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of using PI-RADS v2.1 category ≥4 to detect TZ cs-PCa were 74.2% and 92.5% respectively. Compared with Byun’s results, the discrepancy of the sensitivity and specificity may be related to the radiologists’ diagnostic experience and familiarity with the PI-RADS v2.1 scoring criteria for TZ lesions. These results indicated that using the PI-RADS v2.1 score alone for diagnosing cs-
Figure 3 Validation of the nomogram. (A) The area under the ROC curve (AUC) of the prediction model in the training cohort was 0.936, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.907–0.958. (B) The AUC of the prediction model in the validation cohort was 0.963, 95% CI: 0.928–0.998. (C) The calibration curve of the nomogram in the training cohort (bootstrap 500 repetitions). (D) The calibration curve of the nomogram in the validation cohort. The x-axis is the predicted probability of the nomogram, and the y-axis is the actual probability of cs-PCa in the transition zone. The black line represents the ideal nomogram, wherein the predicted outcomes correspond with the actual outcomes. The red line represents the apparent accuracy of the nomogram. The blue line represents bootstrap-corrected performance of the nomogram. (E) The decision curve of the individual predictors and the prediction model for predicting clinically significant PCa in the training cohort. (F) The decision curve of the individual predictors and the prediction model for predicting clinically significant PCa in the validation cohort. cs-PCa, clinically significant prostate cancer.
PCa in the TZ would inevitably result in false-positive and false-negative cases, largely because the results of the PI-RADS v2.1 score were closely associated with the reader’s diagnostic experience with MRI of the prostate. The subjective visual assessment of the images tended to increase the discrepancy between the readers. Hence, it was necessary to combine the PI-RADS v2.1 score with objective clinical indicators to improve the diagnostic value for cs-PCa in the TZ.

Our results also revealed that PSAD was another independent predictor of TZ cs-PCa. As a commonly used clinical indicator, previous studies have proven that PSAD plays a positive role in combined diagnosis of PCa or cs-PCa (22,23). We combined the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD and constructed a new nomogram with a subjective bp-MRI visual category and an objective test indicator to predict the possibility of cs-PCa in the TZ. In the training cohort, by incorporating the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD into a prediction model, the diagnostic performance for cs-PCa in the TZ could be improved with an AUC of 0.936, which was significantly higher than that of the PI-RADS v2.1 score (AUC =0.914) and PSAD (AUC =0.842). Furthermore, the prediction model could improve its diagnostic sensitivity from 74.2% to 80.7% and its specificity from 92.5% to 93.8% compared with the PI-RADS v2.1 score alone. Our results were similar to the study reported by Han et al. (24). They compared the performance of the PI-RADS v2.1 categories based on the mp-MRI or bp-MRI protocol in combination with PSAD in detecting cs-PCa in patients with PSA levels of 4–10 ng/mL and found that the combination of bp-MRI and PSAD showed the highest AUC (AUC =0.907) and

Figure 4 A 71-year-old patient with a PI-RADS v2.1 score of 3 and a PSAD of 0.03. (A) T2WI shows a hypointense nodule with almost complete encapsulation in the right anterior part of the transition zone on T2WI (arrow). (B,C) the DWI/ADC map shows markedly diffused restriction with a diameter of 8 mm (arrow). The T2WI score was 2, the DWI/ADC map score was 4, and the overall PI-RADS v2.1 score was 3. (D) Pathology confirmed the right transition zone lesion to be benign prostate tissue, HE stain, mag. ×100. PI-RADS, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System; PSAD, prostate-specific antigen density; T2WI, T2-weighted imaging; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient.
an increased diagnostic sensitivity (89.2%) but a slightly decreased diagnostic specificity (87.2%) compared with bpe-MRI alone (sensitivity, 81.1%; specificity, 88.4%).

The newly constructed nomogram incorporating the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD was established and validated in the training and validation cohorts. The nomogram is a perfect example of integrating multiple related parameters to predict a specific end point by means of geometry graphs to visualize the results of the prediction model. With the nomogram, we could intuitively obtain a patient’s corresponding risk value for TZ cs-PCa on the prediction line at the bottom of the nomogram, which can

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC (95% CI)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Avoid unnecessary biopsy (%)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI-RADS v2.1 score</td>
<td>0.914 (0.881–0.940)</td>
<td>74.2 (61.5–84.5)</td>
<td>92.5 (89.1–95.2)</td>
<td>94.8 (91.9–97.0)</td>
<td>1.998</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAD</td>
<td>0.842 (0.801–0.877)</td>
<td>66.1 (53.0–77.7)</td>
<td>88.2 (84.1–91.5)</td>
<td>93.1 (89.6–95.7)</td>
<td>4.063</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction model</td>
<td>0.936 (0.907–0.958)</td>
<td>80.7 (68.6–89.6)</td>
<td>93.8 (90.5–96.2)</td>
<td>96.2 (93.4–98.0)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05. PSAD, prostate specific antigen density; PI-RADS v2.1, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System, version 2.1; the prediction model is the combination of the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD.
then be used to guide urologists’ decision on whether to conduct a biopsy. The AUC of the nomogram was 0.963 for the validation cohort, indicating that the constructed model has satisfactory predictive accuracy for cs-PCa in the TZ. Furthermore, the calibration curve revealed good consistency between the actual outcomes and the predicted outcomes. In addition, for assessing the availability of the prediction model, we conducted the decision curve analysis. The results showed that using the prediction model to predict the risk of cs-PCa could obtain higher net benefit than treating all or no patient in most ranges of the threshold probabilities. The prediction model had more advantages in guiding physician decision-making. However, there are several limitations in this study. First, although the present study included a relatively large number of patients with PCa in the TZ, it was a retrospective, single-center study, and patient selection bias may exist. Multicenter studies will be carried out to further validate these results. Second, MRI-TRUS fusion targeted biopsy was only performed for suspicious lesions on prostate MRI, which may miss potential lesions with a negative MRI but positive pathology. Third, the prediction model has not been validated by an independent external dataset and it requires further verification.

**Conclusions**

Our study constructed a novel internally validated nomogram to predict the probability of cs-PCa in the TZ based on the PI-RADS v2.1 score and PSAD. PI-RADS v2.1 based on bp-MRI is a strong predictor of TZ cs-PCa. In addition, adding PSAD to PI-RADS v2.1 could improve its diagnostic performance, thereby avoiding unnecessary biopsies.
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