Narrative review: update on immunotherapy and pathological features in patients with bladder cancer
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Abstract: Over the last few years efficacy of immunotherapy using immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) has been investigated in patients with bladder cancer (BC) at all stages. The present article aims to assess new therapeutic options with emerging agents in BC patients, shedding light on ICI-based treatments encompassing all disease stages, from non-muscle invasive (NMIBC) to muscle-invasive (MIBC) BC, concluding with metastatic MIBC. In bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) unresponsive patients with carcinoma in situ, pembrolizumab has been recently approved. In the neoadjuvant setting, results from two clinical trials seem to identify pathological and genomic features of highly responsive tumors. Squamous cells and lymphoepithelioma-like histotypes, programmed cell-death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression and high levels of activate T cells have been associated with higher response rate. In the metastatic setting, only 30% of patient may respond to ICI. A panel of biomarkers for patient selection is an actual need since the correlation between response and PD-L1 expression seem inconsistent across clinical trials, with some exceptions. Molecular characterization of BC, tumor mutation burden and immune-gene expression profiling might introduce new molecular biomarkers, hopefully transferable into the clinical-pathological practice.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is the 11th most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide (1,2). The vast majority of patients with BC presents with early stage [stage Ta/carcinoma in situ (CIS) or T1]. The 5-year survival rates vary from 98% in stage Ta, to 20.9% in case of lymph node spread to 6.8% in patients with visceral metastases (3).

Chemotherapy with platinum-based regimens has always been the cornerstone of medical treatment of BC patients, either as perioperative strategy and/or as front-line therapy for locally advanced or stage IV metastatic disease.
However, after failure of platinum-based chemotherapy, effective therapeutic options are limited and prognosis is very poor for these patients. Over the last few years efficacy of immunotherapy using immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) has been investigated in patients with BC at all stages. Indeed, anti-programmed cell-death protein 1 (PD-1) pembrolizumab and nivolumab, as well as anti-programmed cell-death ligand 1 (PD-L1) durvalumab, avelumab, and atezolizumab, have been labeled by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for metastatic urothelial BC patients who had disease progression after platinum-based chemotherapy. The present article aims to assess new therapeutic options with emerging agents in BC patients, shedding light on ICI-based treatments encompassing all disease stages, from non-muscle invasive (NMIBC) to muscle-invasive (MIBC) BC, concluding with metastatic MIBC.

**Article selection**

We performed a comprehensive literature search of the PubMed/Medline database focusing on the keywords “bladder cancer”, “urothelial carcinoma”, “immune checkpoint inhibitors”, “immunotherapy”, “predictive biomarkers”, “outcome”, and “clinical trials”. Most relevant studies in terms of number of patients and clinical impact were selected. The manuscript is presented in accordance with Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-20-1436).

**Narrative review**

**ICI clinical trials from NMIBC to non-metastatic MIBC**

Intravesical immunotherapy with bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) is the standard treatment to prevent the recurrence in intermediate/high-risk NMIBC (4). However, 40% of patients treated with BCG will develop disease recurrence. Early radical cystectomy (RC) is strongly recommended in patients with BCG unresponsive tumors (5). Bladder-sparing treatments are currently under investigation. In this setting, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors combined with or without BCG are being tested in NMIBC patients, with encouraging results. The rationale behind this is that PD-L1 expression has been associated with increased resistance to BCG immunotherapy. Moreover, granulomas induced by BCG in BCG-unresponsive patients show high levels of PD-L1 expression. The high expression of PD-L1 may suppress the T-cell response induced by BCG and be the cause of the BCG failure (6). A recent publication by Pierconti et al. examined the expression of PD-L1 both in tumor cells and in immune cells in patients with CIS primary responders and not responders to BCG therapy. According to their results, PD-L1 positive expression performed using the 22C3 clone identify patients with BCG-unresponsive CIS (7).

**Pembrolizumab in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC patients**

The KEYNOTE-057, phase II, is the first single-arm study enrolling patients with BCG unresponsive disease to receive pembrolizumab administered intravenously (IV) at a dose of 200 mg every 3 weeks for 24 months or until disease progression or discontinuation due to toxicity. Preliminary data on 103 NMIBC patients with high-risk BCG-unresponsive CIS with/without papillary disease (cohort A) not suitable for RC have been reported in abstract form. The findings showed a 3-month complete response rate of 38%, with a lasting response in 72% of the patients. Nineteen patients (18%) experienced immune-mediated adverse events, one of these (colitis) led to a toxic death (8).

Based on these preliminary data, the FDA granted the approval of Pembrolizumab for the treatment of BCG unresponsive patients with CIS with/without papillary tumors who are ineligible for or elected not to undergo RC.

Currently ongoing clinical trials are testing durvalumab (NCT02901548) in patients with BCG refractory CIS, atezolizumab for BCG-unresponsive high-risk NMIBC (Ta/T1/CIS) (NCT02844816) and as neoadjuvant therapy to patients with either BCG-refractory NMIBC, or MIBC appropriate for RC and refusing or ineligible for neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT02451423). Phase I studies are now investigating the safety and preliminary antitumor activity of atezolizumab and pembrolizumab in combination with intravesical BCG (NCT02792192, NCT02324582). Five trials are currently investigating the use of ICI in BCG untreated patients but no results available yet.

Predictive biomarkers of response are needed in this setting. Molecular analysis comparing genomic profiles of BCG responders and BCG treated patients who progressed to MIBC evidenced a significant reduction of tumor mutational burden (TMB) in responders compared to “progressors” and metastatic disease. More advanced tumors express a lower burden of neoantigens that may explain the decreased response to BCG immunotherapy (9).

Since the first molecular taxonomy published by Sjödahl et al. in 2012 (10), several attempts have been made trying...
to classify the NMIBC in different genomic-based groups (11,12). The latest one, recently published by Robertson et al. (13), aimed to investigate the molecular heterogeneity of T1 urothelial cancer with a primary endpoint of recurrence after BCG. Five consensus subtypes of T1 tumors treated with BCG were identified. The so-called T1-Myc and T1-early subtypes had the significantly worse recurrence-free survival than the other three subtypes grouped. Interestingly, both subtypes had elevated MYC expression and T1-early had a repressed immune response hallmarks for IFN-α and IFN-γ suggesting its tumor microenvironment may represent an immune desert.

**Perioperative immune trials in MIBC patients**

Several immunotherapy-based trials are in clinical development in patients with localized MIBC, either as immuno-based combination strategies or as ICI single-agent, though data are available just for two neoadjuvant phase II trials testing atezolizumab (14) and pembrolizumab (15), respectively.

The ABACUS is a phase II study in MIBC cisplatin-unfit patients with clinical T2–T4aN0 stage tumor, planned to assess the efficacy of atezolizumab as neoadjuvant treatment. A total of 95 participants have received three-weekly atezolizumab IV at a dose of 1,200 mg for 2 cycles, prior to RC. Pathologic complete remission (pT0) was observed in 31% of the patients, rate that increased to 37% in PD-L1 positive patients. The most common adverse event was fatigue in 21% of the patients (14). The PURE-01 is a phase II, single-arm study, that has investigated the activity of pembrolizumab before RC in predominant urothelial cisplatin-fit MIBC patients with clinical T ≤ 3bN0 stage tumor. Fifty patients were planned to receive three-weekly pembrolizumab IV at a dose of 200 mg for 3 cycles. The results demonstrated overall that 21 out of 50 (42%) patients got a pT0 stage; in 35 patients with PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS) ≥ 10% a total of 19 (54%) experienced a pT0 response. Thyroid dysfunction was the most frequent all-grade toxicity and occurred in 18% of the patients. Only one patient interrupted the study drug for grade 3 transaminitis (15).

In the ABACUS trial, PD-L1 expression was considered positive if present in ≥5% of immune cells using the SP142 antibody. No significant correlation between PD-L1 expression and outcome, on either immune cells or tumor cells was found (14,16). Notably, preexisting T cell activation correlated with response. A higher percentage of inflamed tumors compared to metastatic setting was reported; among inflamed tumors, responding tumors had an inflammatory infiltrate composed by T cells double positive for CD8 and granzyme B (a surrogate marker for CD8 activated cells) unlike relapsing inflamed tumors, which showed low levels of activated T cells. TMB, on the contrary, did not correlate with response.

Of interest, in the PURE-01 trial, patients with squamous-cell carcinoma or a lymphoepithelioma-like variant of BC had major, although preliminary, pathological responses compared with those with other predominant variant histologies. PD-L1 expression was evaluated with 22C3 antibody using CPS. TMB and CPS were associated with both pT0 and pT ≤ 1 responses, irrespective of tumor histology (17).

**ICI clinical trials in metastatic MIBC**

Atezolizumab as first-line treatment

IMvigor210 is a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial of atezolizumab as front-line therapy in untreated cisplatin-ineligible MIBC patients. A total of 123 patients were enrolled and 119 of whom received atezolizumab IV at a dose of 1,200 mg every 3 weeks until disease progression occurred. The main reason for cisplatin-unfit condition was renal impairment in 70% of the treated patients. At a median follow-up of 17 months, 27 out of 119 (23%) patients experienced an objective response rate (ORR), 11 (9%) of whom as complete remission, for an overall clinical benefit rate of 30%. Clinical responses there were both early (2 months) than late (after 6 months) during atezolizumab treatment, and were observed across all PD-L1 subgroups according to tumour-infiltrating immune cells status and by baseline prognostic subgroups. In all patient population, median progression-free survival (PFS) was 2.7 months that rose to 4.1 months in patients with immune cells score of 2/3, while median overall survival (OS) was equal to 15.9 months and increased to 19.1 months in patients with immune cells score of 0/1. Atezolizumab toxicity was well-tolerated with manageable immune-mediated events that required steroid therapy alone, only one toxic death for sepsis occurred (18). Of notice, the luminal I subtype had lower PD-L1 immune cell expression and CD8+ genes expression, thus achieving lower response rates (19).

IMvigor130 is a multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial of atezolizumab with or without chemotherapy as first-line treatment in patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial MIBC. The trial allowed the inclusion of patients with or without a cisplatin-eligibility condition.
A total of 1,213 patients were randomized (1:1:1) to receive atezolizumab 1,200 mg IV every 3 weeks with platinum-based chemotherapy (cohort A, n=453), or atezolizumab 1,200 mg IV as single-agent therapy (cohort B, n=354), or placebo with platinum-based chemotherapy (cohort C, n=390). At a median follow-up of 11.8 months, median primary PFS resulted equal to 8.2 months in cohort A versus 6.3 months in cohort C (P=0.007). Interim median OS findings showed an improvement of 16 months for cohort A versus 13.4 months for cohort C (P=0.027, value that did not meet the preplanned threshold of statistical significance), and 15.7 months for cohort B versus 13.1 months for cohort C. Treatment-related serious adverse events were reported in 32% of patients in cohort A, 12% in cohort B, and 26% in cohort C. Atezolizumab-related grade 3 or 4 adverse events of special interest occurred in 8% of patients both in cohort A than in cohort B. The meaningful PFS benefit of adding atezolizumab to conventional platinum-based chemotherapy favored its potential introduction as a treatment front-line option (20).

**Durvalumab plus tremelimumab as first-line treatment**

DANUBE is an open-label, phase 3 trial to assess durvalumab with or without tremelimumab (monoclonal antibody against CTLA-4) versus IV chemotherapy as a front-line therapy in previously untreated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial MIBC patients. A total of 1,032 patients were randomized 1:1:1 to receive either durvalumab (n=346) or durvalumab plus tremelimumab every 28 days for up to four cycles followed by durvalumab as a maintenance therapy every 28 days (n=342) or platinum-based chemotherapy (according to cisplatin eligibility) for up to six cycles (n=344). In patients with PD-L1 overexpressed, median OS was 14.4 months with durvalumab as single-agent (n=209) versus 12.1 months with chemotherapy (n=207; P=0.30). In all randomized patients, median OS was 15.1 months with durvalumab plus tremelimumab versus 12.1 months with chemotherapy (P=0.075). Treatment-related serious adverse events were reported in 9% of patients in the durvalumab group, 23% of patients in the durvalumab plus tremelimumab group, and 16% of patients in the chemotherapy group (21).

**Avelumab as first-line maintenance treatment**

JAVELIN Bladder 100 is an open-label, phase 3 trial, of best supportive care (BSC) with or without avelumab maintenance therapy in unresectable locally advanced or metastatic urothelial MIBC patients who derived disease response/stabilization from at least four cycles of platinum-based first-line chemotherapy. A total of 700 patients were randomized 1:1 to avelumab 10 mg/kg IV every 14 days + BSC (avelumab group) or BSC alone (control group). The primary endpoint was OS both in the overall population and in the PD-L1 biomarker positive population. Median OS in the overall population was 21.4 months in the avelumab group versus 14.3 months in the control group (P=0.001), while in the PD-L1 positive population was not estimable in the avelumab group versus 17.1 months in the control group (P<0.001). Grade ≥3 adverse events occurred in 47% of avelumab arm patient and in 25% of control arm patient. Adverse events led to discontinuation of avelumab in 11.9% of patients. There were no immune-related deaths. The study met its primary endpoint demonstrating longer overall patient survival with first-line avelumab maintenance both in the overall population and PD-L1 positive patients (22). PD-L1 expression was assessed with the clone SP263 Ventana and considered positive if at least 25% of tumor cells stained for PD-L1, and/or at least 25% of immune cells stained for PD-L1 if more than 1% of the tumor area contained immune cells, or 100% of immune cells stained for PD-L1 if no more than 1% of the tumor area contained immune cells.

**Pembrolizumab as second-line treatment**

KEYNOTE-045 is an open-label, phase 3 trial, of pembrolizumab versus a chemotherapy-regimen according to investigator’s choice as second-line treatment for platinum-refractory metastatic MIBC patients. OS and PFS were the two coprimary endpoints, survival measurements were done in the total population and among patients harboring a tumor PD-L1 ≥10%. A total of 542 patients were randomized (1:1 ratio) to receive pembrolizumab 200 mg IV (pembrolizumab group) or IV chemotherapy with docetaxel 75 mg/mq, or paclitaxel 175 mg/mq, or vinflunine 320 mg/mq (chemotherapy group), in 21-day cycles. In all patient population, median OS was 10.3 months for pembrolizumab group and 7.4 months for chemotherapy group (P=0.002); in patients with a CPS ≥10% (clone 22C3 Dako), median OS was 8.0 months in the pembrolizumab group and 5.2 months in the chemotherapy group (P=0.005). Overall, PFS did not differ between the two-study group. Pembrolizumab had a favorable toxicity profile compared with the chemotherapy agents and was associated with significantly longer OS of
Nivolumab as second-line treatment

The CheckMate275 was a single arm, phase 2, multicenter trial testing nivolumab in patients with metastatic or unresectable urothelial cancer who have progressed or recurred following platinum-based chemotherapy (24) (Table 1). The primary endpoint was overall objective response in all treated patients and by tumor cell PD-L1 expression ($\geq 5\%$ and $\geq 1\%$) with 28-8 clone antibody. Confirmed objective response was achieved in 52 (19.6%, 95% CI: 15.0–24.9) of 265 patients. Responses were seen irrespective of tumour PD-L1 expression. In this trial, gene expression profile has been performed with unexpected results. Tumors classified as basal according to the TCGA classification had highest response to nivolumab. Moreover, the responders had high interferon-$\gamma$ gene expression, the highest CXCL9 or CXCL10 expression and the highest CD8 expression (25).

Discussion

Medical treatment for urothelial BC patients has rapidly been evolving from a platinum-based chemotherapy to immunotherapy-oriented approach at any disease stage. Data from the neoadjuvant PURE-01 trial have demonstrated that increased PD-1/PD-L1 expression correlate with higher response to ICI therapy. This finding maintains open the debate on which is the optimal biomarker to improve decision making by physicians across daily clinical practice. Contrarily to the metastatic setting, the evaluation of PD-L1 on transurethral resection of the bladder tumour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial [year] (reference)</th>
<th>Study phase</th>
<th>Study drug/treatment arms</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Disease stage</th>
<th>Therapy line</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEYNOTE-057 [2019] (8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab monotherapy</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>NMIBC</td>
<td>BCG failure</td>
<td>cRR: 38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABACUS [2018] (14)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Atezolizumab neoadjuvant monotherapy</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>MIBC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>pCR: 31% in all pts; pCR: 37% in PD-L1 positive pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURE-01 [2018] (15)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab neoadjuvant monotherapy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>MIBC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>pCR: 42% in all pts; pCR: 19/35 (54%) PD-L1 positive pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMvigor210 [2016] (19)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Atezolizumab monotherapy in c-DDP unfit</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>ORR: 23% (9% cRR); mPFS: 2.7 mo; mOS: 15.9 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMvigor130 [2020] (20)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Atezolizumab + platinum-based chemo (A) vs. Atezolizumab monotherapy (B) vs. platinum-based chemo (C)</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mPFS: 8.2 vs. 6.3 mo (A vs. C) (P=0.007); mOS: 16 vs. 13.4 mo (A vs. C) (P=0.027); mOS: 15.7 vs. 13.1 mo (B vs. C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANUBE [2020] (21)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Durvalumab (D) monotherapy vs. D + Tremelimumab (T) vs. platinum-based chemo</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mOS: 14.4 vs. 12.1 mo (D vs. chemo, PD-L1) (P=0.30); mOS: 15.1 vs. 12.1 (D + T vs. chemo, all pts) (P=0.075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAVELIN Bladder 100 [2020] (22)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Avelumab (A) maintenance + BSC vs. BSC</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mOS: 21.4 vs. 14.3 mo (A vs. BSC, all pts) (P=0.001); mOS: NE vs. 17.1 mo (A vs. BSC, PD-L1) (P&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEYNOTE-045 [2017] (23)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab (P) vs. chemo-regimen (TXT or PTX or vinflunine)</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>mOS: 10.3 vs. 7.4 (P vs. chemo, all pts) (P=0.002); mOS: 8.0 vs. 5.2 (P vs. chemo, CPS $\geq 10%$) (P=0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CheckMate275 [2017] (24)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nivolumab after platinum-based chemo</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>mMIBC</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ORR: 19.6% (52/265). Responses irrespective of PD-L1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCG, bacillus Calmette-Guerin; BSC, best supportive care; c-DDP unfit, cisplatin-ineligible; chemo., chemotherapy; CPS, combined positive score; cRR, complete response rate; I, first-line; II, second-line; MIBC, muscle-invasive bladder cancer; mMIBC, metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer; mo, months; mOS, median overall survival; mPFS, median progression-free survival; NA, not applicable; NE, not estimable; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; ORR, objective response rate; pCR, pathologic complete remission; PD-L1, programmed cell-death ligand 1; pts, patients; PTX, paclitaxel; SS, sample size; TXT, docetaxel.

approximately 3 months (23).
(TURBT) samples in the PURE-O1 trial evidenced a higher percentage of positive tumors (>70%) and a better correlation with response (pT0 was achieved in 54.3% with PD-L1 CPS ≥10% compared with only 13.3% with CPS <10%). A possible explanation of this finding might be that TURBT specimens are more representative of the tumor tissue at the time of immunotherapy compared to primary tumors (either in RC specimens or TURBT) removed from patients many years before they become metastatic. Indeed, not only the different assays and cut-off should be taken into account when comparing different studies, but also the tissue samples used in each trial. The majority of tissue samples tested in immunotherapy trial in the metastatic setting are primary tumor and not metastatic sites.

In addition, neoadjuvant ICI therapy demonstrated to do not compromise the safety of the subsequent RC. In such context, when considering treatments combination, a phase II randomized clinical trial is evaluating neoadjuvant nivolumab plus urelumab (agonist antibody to CD137) versus nivolumab alone in MIBC patients considered as cisplatin-unfit or in those who refuse chemotherapy. The trial is currently recruiting patients (NCT02845323). Along this line, another phase 2 neoadjuvant study, currently recruiting, is testing the safety of nivolumab with or without ipilimumab (monoclonal antibody against CTLA-4) before surgery in MIBC patients not eligible for cisplatin-based chemotherapy (NCT03520491). In the adjuvant setting there is interest in clinical research as well. A phase 3 study of neo-adjuvant nivolumab and NKTR-214 (a PEGylated interleukin-2 CD122-biased agonist) or nivolumab alone or standard of care in cisplatin-unfit MIBC patients is enrolling patients. A total of 540 patients are estimated to be enrolled into the study, and randomly assigned to receive neo-adjuvant nivolumab plus NKTR-214 followed by RC and subsequent adjuvant nivolumab plus NKTR-214 (experimental combination arm), neoadjuvant nivolumab followed by RC and continuation of adjuvant nivolumab (experimental monotherapy arm), or RC alone without neo-adjuvant therapy (standard of care) (NCT04209114).

Moving towards the therapeutic scenario of metastatic BC patients, we would like to underline that the advantage of 15.9 months as median OS observed with first-line atezolizumab in IMvigor210 phase II study in cisplatin-ineligible patients is doubtless encouraging, when compared with 9 months of front-line carboplatin-gemcitabine chemotherapy or with 15 months of cisplatin-based chemotherapy. As for the median PFS of 2.7 months observed in this trial, this apparently short-time outcome should not to be weighed in a negative way, because in many circumstances the full immunotherapy benefit occurs late and may be independent of the tumor response. Accordingly, the PFS estimation should not be considered as a reliable surrogate endpoint of clinical benefit under immune-based treatments. On the other hand, the 2-month immunotherapy advantage as PFS (cohort A versus cohort C) in the IMVigor130 trial seems a weak point for supporting the potential use of atezolizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy as a front-line therapy option.

When considering treatment combinations as first-line therapy, it is worthy of mention that no benefit was reported in the phase 3 DANUBE trial with combined or single-agent immune-based regimens versus a platinum-based regimen (21).

PD-L1/PD-1 ICI agents are standard of care as second-line treatment after platinum failure, however only a minority of patients receive them and have benefit. PD-L1 expression in metastatic settings has been evaluated with different assays, cut-offs, cellular compartments, which makes the comparison among different trials challenging (Figure 1). FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMA) have recently restricted the use of the anti-PD1/PD-L1 drugs pembrolizumab and atezolizumab only in patients whose tumor is PD-L1 positive. However, to perform PD-L1 assays for both drugs, pathology laboratories need two different platforms (Dako and Ventana), often not available in the same institution (26-28). Recently IFN-γ has been proposed as biomarker of response for ICI treatment. Levels of IFN-γ release were investigated in non-small cell lung cancer and melanoma patients receiving immunotherapy with promising results (29,30). The IFN-γ gene expression signature has been evaluated in clinical trials on atezolizumab, nivolumab, durvalumab and pembrolizumab and demonstrated to be associated with higher ORR, PFS and OS (19,24,31,32).

Notably, the findings of avelumab in the JAVELIN Bladder 100 study with over 70% of patients alive at 12 months are therefore extremely relevant, offering for the first time a standard of care as first-line maintenance with immunotherapy after a disease control with a platinum-containing chemotherapy. Likewise, the findings by the phase 3 KEYNOTE-045 trial of pembrolizumab versus a chemotherapy-based regimen (taxanes or vinflunine) as second-line therapy are of paramount importance. The significant 3-month OS advantage obtained from this study overcomes the 2-month OS advantage of vinflunine.
(approved by EMA) over BSC (10); this result is further supported by the fact that pembrolizumab has a better safety profile than that of chemotherapy agents.

**Conclusions**

After the FDA approval of Pembrolizumab in January 2020 large amount of real-world data of BCG-unresponsive patients treated with ICI are awaited. Considering all the data presented here and promising ongoing immune-trials, in the near future the evolving treatment paradigms of BC patients will increasingly incorporate immunotherapy-oriented approaches in routine practice, encompassing early BC stages as well as advanced disease stages.
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