New frontiers in urethral reconstruction: injectables and alternative grafts
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Abstract: Contemporary management of anterior urethral strictures requires both endoscopic as well as complex substitution urethroplasty, depending on the nature of the urethral stricture. Recent clinical and experimental studies have explored the possibility of augmenting traditional endoscopic urethral stricture management with anti-fibrotic injectable medications. Additionally, although buccal mucosa remains the gold standard graft for substitution urethroplasty, alternative grafts are necessary for reconstructing particularly complex urethral strictures in which there is insufficient buccal mucosa or in cases where it may be contraindicated. This review summarizes the data of the most promising injectable adjuncts to endoscopic stricture management and explores the alternative grafts available for reconstructing the most challenging urethral strictures. Further research is needed to define which injectable medications and alternative grafts may be best suited for urethral reconstruction in the future.
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Introduction

The management of urethral strictures is varied and includes both endoscopic and open urethral reconstruction, with the goal of improving urinary flow. Urethral strictures resulting from trauma, iatrogenic injury, prior hypospadias surgery, lichen sclerosis and previous urethral reconstruction often result in long segments of urethra that require extensive reconstruction. The optimal management of a urethral stricture is dependent on a multitude of factors including the location, length, and etiology of stricture, as well as the surgeon’s experience and reconstructive preference. Urethral strictures are often managed in a progressive manner in which shorter strictures are managed endoscopically or with excision and anastomosis, while augmentation with oral mucosa grafts or fasciocutaneous flaps are necessary for longer defects.

While the buccal mucosa graft is the workhorse of urethral reconstruction, a need exists for alternative grafts for augmentation urethroplasty in patients in whom obtaining adequate graft material is difficult; namely long segment, panurethral strictures or recurrent strictures in patients who have had prior buccal mucosa graft retrieval. Buccal mucosa is now used so frequently, and with such high success, that many of the grafts we used in the past (genital and extragenital skin), are a great source to re-examine in the future. Additionally, tissue engineering and regenerative medicine offers the potential to significantly reduce the invasiveness and morbidity of urethral reconstruction by having off the shelf material available, with the goal of improving patient outcomes.

Injectables

The goal of treating any urethral stricture is to provide a durable, patent urethra with minimal morbidity. While open urethroplasty is the gold standard treatment for anterior urethral strictures, endoscopic management does
have a role in highly select patients. Since the outcomes of endoscopic treatment are vastly inferior to urethroplasty, improving our current endoscopic treatments is important, and if successful, has the potential to greatly reduce patient morbidity. There have been a number of different techniques and anti-fibrotic agents used in the hope of blunting wound contraction and scar formation.

The optimal therapeutic intervention is to augment a traditional urethrotomy with an antiproliferative, anti-scar forming agent (Figures 1-3). Steroids (triamcinolone), mitomycin C (MMC), and hyaluronidase are all anti-fibrotic agents that have the potential to reduce stricture recurrence. Steroids have well known anti-fibrotic and anti-collagen properties. MMC has been shown in both in vitro and animal studies to inhibit fibroblast proliferation, collagen deposition, and scar formation (1-3). Additionally, MMC has been used in numerous medical specialties for its anti-scar properties. It is used for glaucoma and pterygium excision, nasolacrimal duct obstruction, laryngeal and tracheal stenosis, and vaginal and anal stenosis (4-12). Hyaluronidase is an antifibrotic agent used in hypertrophic scar, keloid, and pulmonary fibrosis. This agent suppresses wound healing inflammatory mediators, decreases fibroblast proliferation, and decreases collagen and glycosaminoglycan synthesis (13).

The current urologic literature demonstrates few high quality studies investigating the use of antifibrotic injectables for the treatment of anterior urethral strictures. Triamcinolone is the agent that has been studied the most extensively, with original reports going back to the 1960s and 1970s (14,15). Steroid injection following urethrotomy has been advocated in a number of small studies to be safe and efficacious (16,17). There has been two small randomized, controlled trials looking at bulbar strictures <1.5 cm treated with urethrotomy with and without triamcinolone (16,18). With a mean follow-up of 13.7 months (range, 1-25 months), Mazdak et al. found the triamcinolone group had a stricture recurrence rate of 21.7% as compared to 50% in the control arm. In contrast, the study by Tavakkoli et al. did not find a statistically significant difference in the rate of stricture recurrence (16).

MMC is another antifibrotic agent that has recently been studied. A small randomized, controlled trial of 40 patients with bulbar urethral strictures <1.5 cm was the first study looking at the effects of MMC on urethrotomy. Stricture recurrence was 10% in patients with urethrotomy and MMC versus 50% with urethrotomy alone. However, these results are to be taken with caution, as the follow-up was only 6-24 months and the end point for success poorly defined (19).

Hyaluronic acid and carboxymethylcellulose have been proposed to minimize stricture recurrence in one small randomized controlled trial, but no conclusions about the
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Figure 1 Ureteral stricture.

Figure 2 Direct vision internal urethrotomy (DVIU).

Figure 3 Injection of MMC. MMC, mitomycin C.
efficacy of this drug can be made as the trial only had a 6 month follow-up (20).

Lastly, the combination of all three of these drugs has recently been proposed as a means of improving urethrotomy outcomes. In a study of 103 patients with strictures of both the bulbar and penile urethra, the authors performed an urethrotomy and injected a mixture of 40 mg triamcinolone, 2 mg MMC, and 3,000 units of hyaluronic acid into the urethrotomy site (21). They report a stricture recurrence rate of 19.4% at a median follow-up of 14 months (range, 3-18 months), with no control group (21).

While all of these studies are an important step in progressing the science and knowledge of endoscopic stricture management, longer follow-up and more rigid outcomes measures will be critical to properly evaluate the role these adjuncts play with regards to traditional treatment. Well-controlled clinical trials with a minimum 2-year follow-up are needed to determine the optimal antifibrotic agent and technical approach for these novel adjuncts to endoscopic management.

**Alternative grafts**

While the success rate of both grafts and penile skin flaps has been established in the literature to be of similar efficacy, there is also significantly higher morbidity associated with the use of such flaps (22,23). The increased complexity in harvesting penile skin flaps requires technical expertise and has resulted in the use of full thickness grafts as the augmentation tissue of choice among reconstructive surgeons. There are a number of alternative grafts that can be effectively implemented into the reconstructive urologist’s armamentarium for use in particularly complex cases, when there is insufficient buccal mucosa available for augmentation urethroplasty. Lingual grafts, penile and extragenital skin, bladder epithelium, small intestinal submucosa, colonic mucosa, and tissue engineered grafts are all existing potential sources of graft material for patients needing additional tissue for augmentation urethroplasty.

**Lingual grafts**

Oral mucosa grafts are the standard grafting material for augmentation urethroplasty as they are accustomed to being wet, and may be resistant to lichen sclerosis (24,25). While there is little data regarding lingual mucosa grafts, the characteristics that make buccal mucosa appealing apply to lingual mucosa grafts as well. These full thickness grafts have a thick epithelium, thin lamina propria, and rich, panlaminar vascular plexus, which allows excellent graft take with minimal contracture. The macroscopic architecture of these grafts is identical to buccal mucosa, making this the graft of choice when additional material is needed (26).

There are notable advantages to harvesting lingual grafts. They are readily available for harvest, have a concealed donor site scar, and can yield two grafts ranging between 7 and 16 cm in length. During graft harvesting, care is taken to dissect between the mucosa and submucosal fat. Both Wharton’s duct and the lingual nerve are identified, and care is taken to avoid harvesting mucosa from the floor of the mouth to maintain tongue mobility (27). Lingual grafts can be harvested from any of three locations on the tongue, the lateral surface, ventral surface, or both the ventral and lateral surface (26-28). Harvesting from the ventral location allows the potential for two grafts to be harvested if necessary. After graft harvest, the donor site is closed with absorbable suture and the graft prepared by removing the underlying fibrovascular tissue.

The urethroplasty techniques used with lingual mucosa grafts are similar to buccal grafts, with published reports of one-stage dorsal onlay, dorsal inlay, ventral onlay grafts, as well as a two-stage approach. The short-term outcomes of lingual mucosa grafts appear to be equivalent to buccal mucosa, although there are only a few published reports in the literature (26,27,29,30). Sharma et al. performed a prospective comparative analysis of lingual mucosa grafts compared to buccal mucosa grafts in 30 patients who underwent a dorsal onlay urethroplasty (31). The stricture free outcomes were similar at a mean follow-up of 14.5 months. Additionally, there have been a few additional small studies demonstrating success rates of 79-90% with lingual grafts. However the stricture etiology and surgical techniques were heterogeneous in these small studies and follow-up was less than 2 years (26-30). Lingual mucosa grafts are an excellent graft material for patients with long strictures due to lichen sclerosis, when there may be an inadequate amount of buccal mucosa available. Das et al. was the first to describe short-term results in 18 patients with lichen sclerosis, and demonstrated an 83.3% success rate in patients with a mean stricture length of 10.2 cm (29). Similarly, Xu et al. described their experience with one-stage lingual mucosa grafts in 22 patients with lichen sclerosis strictures and demonstrated an 88.9% success rate (mean stricture length 12.5 cm) with a mean follow-up of 38.7 months (32).
One of the important factors needing validation is the donor site morbidity associated with lingual mucosa harvest. It appears from the small number of studies published, that complications are rare. Initial reports by Simonato et al. reported that patients only complained of slight donor sight pain for 1-2 days, while Das et al. found minimal complications with no functional or esthetic deficiency (29,33). Kumar et al. found that all patients were pain free by 6 days, while in patients with bilateral tongue harvest, they temporarily had a higher rate of slurred speech (34). Sharma et al. published donor site morbidity with long-term changes in speech in patients who underwent bilateral lingual harvest for strictures >7 cm (31).

Lingual grafts represent an excellent choice as an alternative graft to buccal mucosa in patients requiring long-segment substitution urethroplasty, in patients who have previously had buccal mucosa harvest, or in patients in whom cheek harvest would otherwise be contraindicated. While lingual grafts share all the important characteristics of buccal mucosa, the long-term outcomes of lingual grafts have not been established, as the longest follow-up published to date is 38.7 months. Additionally, while harvesting lingual grafts appears safe, the optimal graft size and location on the tongue has not been established to minimize potential long-term morbidity.

**Genital and extragenital skin**

While skin grafts are certainly not a “New frontier” in urethral reconstruction, there is an important use in modern urethral reconstruction for these grafts in patients without lichen sclerosis. Substitution urethroplasty with a penile skin graft was first described in 1953 by Presman and Greenfield, and was ultimately promoted by Devine and Horton using preputial skin for hypospadias repairs (35-37). Although the use of penile and extragenital skin grafts has been declining since early 1990s, the use of full thickness skin grafts remains an integral part of the reconstructive urologist’s armamentarium. Certain conditions exist which ideally suit the harvest of skin (oral leukoplakia, heavy tobacco use, chewing tobacco, betel nut or pan masala, previous oral radiotherapy, previous oral mucosa grafting, insufficient oral mucosa) for substitution urethroplasty. Penile skin grafts are hairless, elastic, and easy to harvest with minimal donor site morbidity. A recent meta-analysis by Lumen et al. comparing urethral reconstruction with either a penile skin or buccal mucosa demonstrated a success rate of 81.8% vs. 85.9% respectively, P=0.01 (38). The long-term durability of penile skin grafts could not be assessed in this analysis, as the follow-up was only 64 months. However, a recent publication by Barbagli et al. demonstrated the long-term outcomes of 359 patients who had either an oral mucosa or penile skin graft urethroplasty. With a minimum follow-up of 6 years, patients with penile skin grafts had a success rate of 59.7% as compared to 77.7% of patients with an oral mucosa graft (39).

Postauricular skin is a full thickness graft that can be used for the treatment of anterior urethral strictures when oral mucosa and genital skin are not available. The skin is harvested from the lower half of the mastoid, and should not cross beyond the lower end of the tragus. Manoj et al. has demonstrated the ability to harvest 7-8 cm of full-thickness graft per side, allowing 14-16 cm of graft material if necessary. In 35 patients with a follow-up of 21 months, they demonstrated an 89% success rate, and reported no donor site complications (40). If harvesting this graft, consideration of the donor site scar should be taken into consideration.

Abdominal skin is another full-thickness skin graft alternative to postauricular skin. This graft should be taken from a hairless area in either the flank or right or left lower quadrant, near the level of the anterior iliac spine. The donor site is closed and the graft prepared by removing all the areolar tissue. The indications for use are similar to postauricular grafts, namely patients without lichen sclerosis in whom oral mucosa and genital skin are not available. A potential use of full thickness skin was described Chen et al. who used a combination of full-thickness skin grafts dorsally in combination with ventral buccal mucosa graft for long segment strictures and reported a 100% success rate in patients with strictures >6 cm with the double graft technique (41). Further evaluation and longer follow-up will be needed to confirm the preliminary findings of this study.

Another extragenital skin graft option for the treatment of particularly complex, long strictures with severe spongiosisfibrosis is the use of a meshed split-thickness skin graft. Popularized by Schreiter, these grafts are used in a two-stage approach (42). In expert hands, these grafts perform exceedingly well in the most complex of cases, with a 79% success rate at 6.5 years follow-up (43). The overall complication rate with a two stage meshed graft urethroplasty is low, with erectile dysfunction and penile curvature rates reported to occur in 4% and 9% of patients respectively (44). While these grafts were used before the modern era of buccal mucosa, they currently represent a tiny fraction of urethroplasties performed. However, the
mesh graft technique is a useful alternative for the most complex urethral stricture.

**Bladder mucosa**

Bladder mucosa is a free graft that is available and has been used primarily in the setting of hypospadias surgery. This graft has fallen out of favor both because of the invasive nature of harvest required to obtain the graft, as well as the high rate of stricture recurrence. In particular, meatal problems occur in up to 68% of patients when the graft was exposed to air, and up to 66% of patients required multiple operations to achieve a good result (45).

**Colonic mucosa**

While Mundy et al. has described the successful use of intestinal flaps as a salvage procedure for bulbomembranous urethral strictures, colonic mucosa has also been used as a circumferential graft in patients with long segment urethral strictures (46,47). Xu et al. reported an 85.7% success rate in 36 patients with a follow-up of 53.6 months (mean stricture length 15.1 cm). However, these patients all underwent a sigmoid resection in order to obtain the graft, raising questions about the practicality of such a technique (47). We have found that retrieving colonic mucosa for salvage urethroplasty is possible without a bowel resection with a transanal endoscopic micro-surgical technique (TEMS) (Figures 4-8). The TEMS technique was developed by colorectal surgeons for the minimally invasive treatment of early stage rectal tumors and benign polyps high in the rectum (up to 20 cm). We have successfully performed this technique safely in four patients for salvage urethroplasty, with no perioperative complications (Vanni and Zinman unpublished data). At a mean follow-up 13 months (range, 5-19 months), three of the four patients have had a successful outcome, while one patient has required a urethral dilation for recurrent stricture. All four patients have normal bowel function. However, larger patient

**Figure 4** Recurrent lichen sclerosis in previous 1st stage buccal mucosa graft.

**Figure 5** TEMS instruments. TEMS, transanal endoscopic micro-surgical technique.

**Figure 6** TEMS setup. TEMS, transanal endoscopic micro-surgical technique.
numbers and long-term follow-up will be important in determining what, if any, role colonic mucosa has in salvage urethroplasty.

**Acellular matrix/tissue engineering**

There is great promise in the field of tissue engineering for the development of an off the shelf graft suitable for urethral reconstruction. While oral mucosa grafts are readily available and adequate for the vast majority of strictures, alternative grafts are needed in the most complex cases. Small intestinal submucosa is a prefabricated, acellular, collagen matrix manufactured from porcine intestinal submucosa. Initial, short-term results in two pilot studies demonstrated success rates between 90-100% (48,49). However, at longer follow-up in 25 patients, success was 86% for strictures <4 cm, while in strictures >4 cm no patient had a successful reconstruction (50). Much research has been performed trying to identify the best scaffold and cell for replacing strictures of the urethra. Autologous tissue engineered buccal mucosa is a promising substitute, and in a pilot study of five patients, all had an initial good result, and at 3 years follow-up, three of the patients had patent urethras (51). Additionally, synthetic scaffolds have been studied as an off the shelf alternative to human or animal collagen scaffolds. In a study by Raya-Rivera et al., five boys had muscle and epithelial cells seeded onto tubularized polyglycolic acid:poly (lactide-co-glycolide acid) scaffolds. Patients then underwent urethral reconstruction of the tubularized urethras. At a median follow-up of 71 months, all patients had a patent urethra (52).

Although there has been a tremendous amount of basic science and animal research for tissue engineered grafts, few platforms have advanced to human clinical trial. The problem for any graft in treating a complex urethral stricture is related to the issues of ischemia, fibrosis, and wound contracture. Further study is needed to better elucidate the optimal scaffolds and cell sources to overcome the inherent issues of tissue fibrosis associated with complex urethral strictures.

**Conclusions**

Anti-fibrotic injectables are an intriguing attempt at improving endoscopic stricture management. While preliminary data is encouraging, more rigid outcomes measures will be critical to properly evaluate the role these adjuncts play with regards to traditional treatment. Well-controlled clinical trials with long-term follow-up are needed to determine the optimal antifibrotic drug and technical approach for these novel agents.

Urethral reconstruction continues to be an evolving specialty due to the uniform difficulty in treating complex urethral strictures. The reconstructive urologist needs to be comfortable with a number of grafts in order to best treat each individual patient’s condition. Tissue engineered grafts continue to show promise, but further study is needed to improve upon existing platforms.
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