

Enhanced recovery after surgery of patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer

Luck Hee Sung¹, Hyeong Dong Yuk²

¹Department of Urology, Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital, Seoul, Korea; ²Department of Urology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: HD Yuk; (II) Administrative support: LH Sung; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: LH Sung; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: LH Sung; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: LH Sung; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Hyeong Dong Yuk, MD. Department of Urology, Seoul National University Hospital, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-744, Korea. Email: hinayuk@naver.com.

Abstract: Radical cystectomy (RC) is the standard treatment for patients diagnosed with muscle invasive bladder cancer, but is associated with significant morbidity and long hospital stays. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) is based on a variety of interventions during the peri-treatment stage. It is designed to improve morbidity, enhance recovery, and reduce hospital stays after RC. The study provides an overview of the key elements of the ERAS protocol recommended for patients undergoing RC and directions for further research. We have analyzed the rationale for 15 key elements related to the ERAS protocol: preoperative patient counseling and education, preoperative medical optimization and nutrition, mechanical bowel preparation, preoperative fasting and carbohydrate loading, pre-anesthetic medication, thromboembolic prophylaxis, minimally invasive surgical approach, resection-site drainage, preventing intraoperative hypothermia, perioperative fluid management, perioperative analgesia, urinary drainage, prevention of postoperative ileus, nausea and vomiting, early oral feeding, and early mobilization. Several studies have shown that ERAS improves the recovery of RC patients. Evidence suggests that ERAS facilitates the recovery of RC patients. However, additional randomized controlled studies or large prospective studies are needed to demonstrate the effectiveness of ERAS in RC patients.

Keywords: Bladder cancer; enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS); perioperative care; radical cystectomy (RC)

Submitted Oct 30, 2019. Accepted for publication Mar 04, 2020.

doi: 10.21037/tau.2020.03.44

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau.2020.03.44>

Introduction

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) was first introduced in 1997 by Kehlet in colorectal surgery as part of a multimodal approach to postoperative recovery (1). In 2005, the ERAS study group published an evidence-based ERAS protocol for colorectal cancer patients (2). According to the protocol, a quick return to normal function may reduce postoperative pain and stress, and reduce the rate of postoperative complications. In the protocol, ERAS does not entail preoperative bowel preparation and fasting, or intake of diluted carbohydrates and water until 2 h before surgery. Any fluid overload during

the entire procedure is avoided. The body temperature is maintained, and non-opioid analgesics are used. No postoperative decompression or drainage is attempted using a nasogastric tube. The urethral catheter is removed early and physical mobilization is resumed early. The patient is advised to take postoperative diet early (2,3). In a meta-analysis of six randomized controlled trials (RCTs) for ERAS in colorectal surgery, ERAS reduced the complications by 50% and the hospitalization period by 2.5 days without any difference in re-entry rate or mortality. This study provided the basis for level 1 evidence of ERAS application in colorectal surgery (4). Since then, ERAS has been used in various

Table 1 Summary of preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative ERAS items

ERAS items	Summary
Preoperative items	
Patient counseling and education	Stoma/neobladder care; alcohol consumption cessation of smoking
Medical optimization	–
Nutrition optimization	–
No mechanical bowel preparation	–
Carbohydrate loading	Solids up to 6 hours before surgery Clear fluids up to 2 hours before surgery
Intraoperative items	
Thromboembolic prophylaxis	Compression stockings; low-molecular-weight heparin
Minimal invasive surgery	–
Standard anesthetic protocol	–
Fluid management	Goal-directed fluid therapy using esophageal Doppler
Preventing hypothermia	–
Ureteral stent indwelling in ureteroileal anastomosis	Promoting intestinal recovery; reducing metabolic acidosis
Postoperative items	
Removal of nasogastric tube	–
Prevention of nausea and vomiting	Goal-directed fluid therapy during surgery Prokinetic agents, antiemetics, dexamethasone, and propofol use Indwelling ureteral stent in ureteroileal anastomosis
Prevention of ileus	Chewing gum; oral laxatives; alvimopan; RARC > open RC
Resection-site drainage	Remove on the postoperative day 1 if there is no evidence of urine leakage
Analgesia	Thoracic epidural analgesia up to 48 or 72 hours Minimal opioids and short-acting opioids based on fentanyl Regular administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen
Urinary drainage	Transurethral catheters should be removed on postoperative one day Remove the ureteral stent within 2 weeks after surgery
Early oral feeding	–
Early mobilization	–

ERAS, enhanced recovery after surgery; RARC, robot-assisted radical cystectomy.

surgical procedures including those related to heart, lung, gynecologic oncology, breast reconstruction, head and neck oncology, liver and pancreas, and gastrointestinal tract (5-10). In urology, ERAS has also been used in cystectomy of patients with bladder cancer (4). Cystectomy is conducted to treat complications such as intestinal resection and anastomosis for urinary diversion and associated postoperative complications.

Cystectomy involves intestinal resection and anastomosis for diversion. ERAS is used to managed the complexity of these procedures and the high incidence of complications related to surgery. We reviewed the ERAS protocol (*Table 1*) of patients who underwent cystectomy, and analyzed the evidence for each ERAS component to assess the overall effectiveness of the intervention.

Preoperative patient counseling and education

Preoperative counseling and education of patients and caregivers reduces patient and caregiver fears and anxieties, enhances postoperative recovery and reduces hospital stays (11-14). In addition to surgical procedure and hospitalization information, detailed information about preoperative care, discharge criteria, multiple methods for ERAS, multiple catheters, and management of stoma and neobladder should be provided (13,14). Such consulting and education can encourage patients, improve recovery and reduce complications (13-15).

Preoperative medical optimization and nutrition

Preoperative assessment is important for patients undergoing radical cystectomy (RC). Evaluation and optimization of multiple factors and medical conditions that affect patient's recovery after surgery are needed. Preoperative considerations include high blood pressure, diabetes, anemia, various comorbidities, physical exercise, smoking, and drinking (4,16). Preoperative physical activity and exercise can expedite recovery (17). Smoking cessation in colorectal surgery reduces postoperative complications and hospitalization rates. However, pulmonary complications often occur in case of sudden smoking cessation just before surgery for long-term smokers. To minimize these complications, smoking cessation at least 1 month before surgery is recommended (18,19). Abstinence from alcohol consumption starting 1 month before surgery reduces postoperative complications (20). Preoperative malnutrition is associated with a high risk of postoperative complications and mortality (21). To reduce complications, appropriate management is necessary at least two weeks before surgery (21).

Mechanical bowel preparation

Randomized controlled studies and meta-analyses have reported that mechanical bowel preparation does not decrease morbidity, hospital stay, or bowel function recovery time in colorectal surgery (22-24). Mechanical bowel preparation can cause dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, intestinal obstruction, and preoperative physical stress in the patient (24). However, a recent study involving robot-assisted RC (RARC) with intracorporeal urinary diversion reported that undigested vegetables may leak into the peritoneum during surgery, so it is necessary to avoid vegetable intake before surgery (25).

Preoperative fasting and carbohydrate loading

Preoperative carbohydrate loading has been shown to reduce thirst, maintain lean body mass and muscle strength, and help restore early bowel function (15,26). A meta-analysis of patients undergoing open abdominal surgery reported a significant reduction in hospital stay when they were exposed to carbohydrates before surgery (26). It is also effective in reducing postoperative insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, especially in diabetic patients undergoing surgery (15,26).

Preoperative fasting entails fasting from midnight to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration during surgery. However, the European Society of Anesthesiology reports that solid foods can be consumed up to two to six hours before surgery without any risk of complications due to anesthesia in such patients (27).

Pre-anesthetic medication

Preoperative anti-anxiety drugs have been reported to reduce early postoperative feeding recovery (28). ERAS guidelines recommend the use of short-acting sedatives and avoidance of long-acting sedatives for postoperative recovery (15). Long-acting sedatives may reduce cognitive impairment in older patients and reduce early postoperative feeding recovery (15).

Thromboembolic prophylaxis

The incidence of venous thromboembolism after RC is 4–8%. Patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy reported a higher risk of postoperative thromboembolism (29). Treatment with postoperative low-molecular-weight heparin significantly reduces venous thromboembolism after abdominal and pelvic surgery (30). ERAS guidelines recommended maintaining compression stocking and low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis for at least 4 weeks after surgery (15).

Minimally invasive surgery

Minimally invasive surgery can reduce pain and blood loss with smaller incisions. It can also affect pain medication use and recovery time (31). However, RARC takes longer operation time than open RC but its advantages include smaller incision size, minimal blood loss, and pain. However, in terms of complications and morbidity, there

was no significant difference between the two surgeries (32). In addition, long-term pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position is a burden in elderly patients with cardiopulmonary disease.

Resection-site drainage

Generally, drainage of the incision after surgery has been considered to reduce the risk of infection at the surgical site and to identify problems such as urine leakage at the surgical site. However, the ERAS protocol recommends removing surgical drains as soon as possible (33). In colorectal surgery, early drain removal from the surgical site did not affect surgical outcomes (34). In the case of RC, there may be a difference in colorectal surgery because urine leakage may affect intestinal recovery; however, during RARC surgery it has recently been recommended to remove the drain on postoperative day 1 if there is no evidence of urine leakage (35).

Preventing intraoperative hypothermia

Prolonged abdominal surgery causes hypothermia in the patient. In colorectal surgery, prevention of intraoperative hypothermia reduces postoperative complications and shortens hospitalization for patients (36).

Perioperative fluid management

Hypovolemia or excess fluid replacement can cause low perfusion and postoperative ileus, which can increase with intestinal obstruction or related morbidity. It is important to maintain fluid balance to reduce this morbidity (37). Goal-directed fluid therapy optimizes blood flow using esophageal Doppler in colorectal surgery, and has been reported to reduce postoperative complications and hospitalization (37). Even in RC, this Doppler-optimized intraoperative fluid management alleviated the risk of intestinal obstruction, nausea and vomiting early in the surgery (38).

Perioperative analgesia

The ERAS guideline recommends a minimum of 72 h of thoracic epidural analgesia (EDA) rather than systemic opioids for pain management (15). In addition to pain reduction, EDA in colorectal surgery has been shown to reduce ileus, promote postoperative recovery, and reduce cardiopulmonary flatulence (39). In studies involving patients undergoing RC, chest EDA yielded functional results and

pain relief, but prospective studies are lacking (40,41). EDA is recommended at the T10 level. The optimal duration is 48 or 72 h after surgery. The use of minimal opioids and short-acting opioids based on fentanyl is recommended. A recent study of RARC with intracorporeal urinary diversion reported EDA can be routinely omitted (42). In addition, the regular administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, is recommended (25).

Urinary drainage

The ERAS guideline recommends that transurethral catheters be removed on postoperative day one. Ureteral stents should be removed after 5 days postoperatively, but the evidence is not clear (15). Ureteral stents are beneficial for urine drainage and promotion of intestinal recovery, and to reduce metabolic acidosis (33). The optimal duration of postoperative ureteral stents is unknown; however, surveys show that the ureteral stent in most patients with ileal conduit can be removed within 2 weeks after surgery. In orthotopic neobladder, 70% of respondents underwent removal of the ureteral stent within 2 weeks after surgery and 30% of respondents carried it for more than 2 weeks after surgery (35).

Prevention of postoperative ileus, nausea and vomiting

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) prophylaxis is indicated by opioid use, inhalation anesthetics, and nitrous oxide. Optimal management of fluid during surgery with esophageal Doppler has been reported to reduce PONV within 48 h after surgery (38). Prokinetic agents such as metoclopramide, antiemetics, dexamethasone, and propofol have been reported to prevent PONV (38,43). In addition, insertion of the ureter stent may prevent PONV during uretero-ileal anastomosis (33). After RC, ileus is one of the most common complications, and is the main cause of delayed discharge. Postoperative ileus can lead to an imbalance in the patient's nutritional status, increase morbidity, and increase hospital stay (44,45). Chewing gum has been reported to help prevent ileus. It has been reported to reduce the first flatus time and shorten the first bowel movement time (46,47). Oral laxatives are also recommended for the early recovery of organs after surgery. Prophylactic oral laxatives can rapidly restore normal bowel movement postoperatively and shorten the time to first bowel movement (48). In addition, the administration of alvimopan, an opioid receptor antagonist, has been reported

to facilitate early recovery of intestinal function after surgery and shorten the length of hospital stay (49). RARC is reported to restore bowel function faster than Open RC (31).

Early oral feeding

Early oral food intake is important in EARS and is known to improve body homeostasis and muscle function, reduce postoperative ileus, reduce infectivity and improve recovery (50,51). There is no solid evidence of early oral food intake for RC. A meta-analysis of major abdominal surgeries reported that the group exposed to early food intake had a reduced complication rate and an increased rate of recovery. In addition, early oral food intake was reported to be beneficial in normalizing the intestinal time, such as time to flatus and time to first bowel movement (52). In colorectal surgery, early oral food intake was reported to reduce hospital stays without increasing morbidity (53,54).

Early mobilization

Early mobilization in colorectal surgery shortens hospital stays (55). In addition, early mobilization after RC facilitates patients’ ability to perform their daily activities (17). Early mobilization after surgery is known to reduce

postoperative cardiopulmonary complications and prevent thromboembolism (56). It also reduces pain, increases muscle strength, reduces the incidence of intestinal obstruction, and promotes bowel activity (57).

Studies evaluating the role of ERAS in RC

Table 2 shows the outcomes following application of ERAS to recent RC surgery. The length of stay (LOS), complication rate, readmission rate and mortality for 30 or 90 days were assessed. The differences in the timing of bowel movement recovery and pain relief after ERAS were investigated. Dunkman *et al.* reported a decrease in LOS in patients undergoing RC combined with the ERAS protocol compared with those without ERAS (62). Tan *et al.* reported that RARC with ERAS decreased LOS compared with RARC without ERAS and RC without ERAS: The median LOS was 7, 11, and 17 days, respectively (65). In addition, several studies reported LOS decrease after ERAS by comparing the LOS between RC patients before and after ERAS protocol (42,66,68,70,71,73,79). A meta-analysis also reported a decrease in LOS following the ERAS protocol, and reported a significant association between ERAS and LOS (78).

Most studies reported short-term complications after 30 and 90 days postoperatively, and analyzed the

Table 2 Studies evaluating the role of ERAS in radical cystectomy

Study	Year	Patients	Design	Operation type	Intervention group	Outcomes
Tamhankar <i>et al.</i> (58)	2020	35	Retrospective	RARC + IUD	ERAS	Median LOS: 8 [4–30] days Overall complication 45.7%, ≥ grade 3 complication 14.3% 90-day mortality: 0%
Ghoreifi <i>et al.</i> (59)	2020	427	Retrospective	RC	ERAS	90-day UTI: 36.1%, 90-day sepsis: 7.1%
Ziegelmueller <i>et al.</i> (60)	2019	35	Prospective, randomization	RC	ERAS/conservative regimen	ERAS vs. conservative regimens: continence and CSS did not differ
Kotov <i>et al.</i> (61)	2019	134	Prospective	RC + LRC	ERAS	90-day complication: 70%, ≥ grade 3 complication: 32%, 90-day mortality: 11.9%, LOS: 12 [9–16] days
Dunkman <i>et al.</i> (62)	2019	200	Retrospective	RC	Traditional care/ERAS	Median LOS:10 [8–18] vs. 7 [6–11] days, P<0.001 Days to first stool: 5.83 vs. 3.99, P<0.001 Days to first solid food: 9.68 vs. 3.2, P<0.001
Cheng <i>et al.</i> (63)	2019	512	Retrospective	RC	ERAS	ICU admission: 6.4%, median ICU day: 3 [0–32] days Median LOS: 11.5 days (ICU), 5 days (non-ICU)

Table 2 (continued)

Table 2 (continued)

Study	Year	Patients	Design	Operation type	Intervention group	Outcomes
Zainfeld <i>et al.</i> (64)	2018	289	Retrospective	RC	ERAS	Median LOS: 4 days, 30-day complication and readmission: 58.8% and 16.6%
Tan <i>et al.</i> (65)	2018	304	Prospective	ORC + RARC + IUD	ORC/RARC/RARC with ERAS	Median LOS: 17 [14–21] days/11 [8–15] days/7 [6–10] days 90-day complication and readmission is lower in RARC with EARS group
Semerjian <i>et al.</i> (66)	2018	110	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Median LOS: 8.5 vs. 5 days, P<0.001 Overall complication, 90-day readmission: no difference
Patel <i>et al.</i> (67)	2018	259	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	LOS, 90-day complication and readmission: no difference
Pang <i>et al.</i> (68)	2018	446	Retrospective	RC	ERAS/ without ERAS	LOS: 8 [6–13] vs. 18 [13–25] days, P<0.001 Readmission: 15% vs. 25%, P=0.04
Palumbo <i>et al.</i> (69)	2018	114	Retrospective	ORC	ERAS/standard preoperative care	3-day passage of stool: 50% vs. 0%, P<0.01 90-day complication 22.5% vs. 62.5%. P=0.38
Matulewicz <i>et al.</i> (70)	2018	171	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Median LOS: 8.5 vs. 7 days, P=0.001, days to flatus: 4 vs. 3 days. P<0.001, days to bowel movement: 5 vs. 4 days, P<0.001
Liu <i>et al.</i> (71)	2018	260	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Mean LOS: 14.25 vs. 10.91, P=0.043, 30-day complication and readmission: no difference
Kukreja <i>et al.</i> (72)	2018	383	Prospective	RC	ERAS/traditional care	Reduced immediate postoperative symptom: pain, drowsiness, dry mouth, disturbed sleep, interference with functioning
Frees <i>et al.</i> (73)	2018	27	Prospective, randomization	RC	ERAS/standard protocol	LOS: 6.1 [5–7] vs. 7.4 [5–11] days, P=0.02 Time to flatulence 2.5 [2–4] vs. 2.6 [2–5] days, P=0.011 Time to defecation 4.3 [2–6] vs. 6.3 [3–9] days, P=0.009
Bazargani <i>et al.</i> (74)	2018	180	Retrospective	RC	ERAS	Median LOS: 4 days, 30- and 90-day complication: 59% and 75%
Bazargani <i>et al.</i> (75)	2018	292	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Median time to first flatus: 2 days, median LOS: 4 days 30-day GI complication: 27% vs. 13%, P=0.003
Djaladat <i>et al.</i> (76)	2017	169	Retrospective	RC	ERAS	90-day major and minor complication: 24.3% and 53.9% 90-day readmission: 29.6%
Baack <i>et al.</i> (77)	2017	200	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Median LOS: 8 vs. 5 days, P<0.001 90-day complication and readmission: no difference
Collins <i>et al.</i> (42)	2016	135	Retrospective	RC	Pre-ERAS/post-ERAS	Median LOS: 9 [8–13] vs. 8 [6–10] days, P<0.001 30-day complication, readmission and 90-day mortality: no difference
Xu <i>et al.</i> (78)	2015	205	Retrospective	RC	ERAS/Traditional care	Opioid per day: 4.9 vs. 20.67 mg

GI, gastrointestinal; IUD, intracorporeal urinary diversion; IVLI, intravenous lidocaine infusion; LOS, length of hospital day; LRC, laparoscopic radical cystectomy; ORC, open radical cystectomy; RARC, robot-assisted radical cystectomy; RC, radical cystectomy; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

overall and severe complications (grade 3 or higher). In a comparative study of patient groups before and after the ERAS protocol, most studies reported no differences in complications between the two groups (42,66,67,69,71,79). However, Pang *et al.* reported a postoperative re-admission rate of 10% ($P=0.043$) in the ERAS and non-groups (68). Bazargani *et al.* reported that the GI complications within 30 days after surgery were 14% lower in the group exposed to ERAS after surgery compared with the group exposed to ERAS preoperatively (75).

Several studies have also analyzed the recovery of bowel function after surgery (62,69). Dunkman *et al.* reported that the day to first stool showed a significant difference of 5.8 and 4 days between the non-ERAS and the ERAS groups ($P<0.001$) (62). In addition, the first intake of solid food occurred 3.2 days in the ERAS group, which was 6 days shorter than in the group without ERAS ($P<0.001$). Palumbo *et al.* reported a significant difference in the passage of stool between 50% and 0% in the ERAS and the standard care groups after 3 days postoperatively ($P<0.01$) (69).

Further, Kukreja *et al.* reported that the ERAS-exposed group exhibited significantly less perioperative symptom burden, such as pain, drowsiness, dry mouth, disturbed sleep, and interference with functioning, compared with the group managed with traditional care (72). Xu *et al.* reported that the group managed with ERAS had significantly lower opioid usage than the group exposed to traditional care (78).

Conclusions

ERAS is an important treatment component in patients undergoing RC. The ERAS protocol is designed to avoid mechanical preparations and to improve postoperative recovery by minimizing fasting periods, and by promoting rapid dietary intake and mobilization after surgery. Several studies have shown that ERAS improves the recovery of RC patients. However, the high-level evidence supporting specific items in the ERAS guideline for RC patients is based on findings from colorectal surgery. Based on the differences between colorectal surgery and bladder resection and morbidity, additional randomized controlled studies or large prospective studies are needed to demonstrate the effectiveness of ERAS in patients undergoing RC.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editors (Ja Hyeon Ku, Ho Kyung Seo and Seok Ho Kang) for the series “Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer” published in *Translational Andrology and Urology*. The article was sent for external peer review organized by the Guest Editors and the editorial office.

Conflicts of Interest: The series “Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Kehlet H. Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation. *Br J Anaesth* 1997;78:606-17.
2. Fearon KC, Ljungqvist O, Von Meyenfeldt M, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a consensus review of clinical care for patients undergoing colonic resection. *Clin Nutr* 2005;24:466-77.
3. Lassen K, Soop M, Nygren J, et al. Consensus review of optimal perioperative care in colorectal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Group recommendations. *Arch Surg* 2009;144:961-9.
4. Cerantola Y, Valerio M, Persson B, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS((R))) society recommendations. *Clin Nutr* 2013;32:879-87.
5. Wijk L, Udumyan R, Pache B, et al. International

- validation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society guidelines on enhanced recovery for gynecologic surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019;221:237.e1-237.e11.
6. Tanious MK, Ljungqvist O, Urman RD. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: History, Evolution, Guidelines, and Future Directions. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 2017;55:1-11.
 7. Mortensen K, Nilsson M, Slim K, et al. Consensus guidelines for enhanced recovery after gastrectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS(R)) Society recommendations. *Br J Surg* 2014;101:1209-29.
 8. Melloul E, Hubner M, Scott M, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care for Liver Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society Recommendations. *World J Surg* 2016;40:2425-40.
 9. Batchelor TJP, Rasburn NJ, Abdelnour-Berchtold E, et al. Guidelines for enhanced recovery after lung surgery: recommendations of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS(R)) Society and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2019;55:91-115.
 10. Aviles C, Hockenberry M, Vrochides D, et al. Perioperative Care Implementation: Evidence-Based Practice for Patients With Pancreaticoduodenectomy Using the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Guidelines. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 2017;21:466-72.
 11. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Page GG, Marucha PT, et al. Psychological influences on surgical recovery. Perspectives from psychoneuroimmunology. *Am Psychol* 1998;53:1209-18.
 12. Egbert LD, Battit GE, Welch CE, et al. Reduction of Postoperative Pain by Encouragement and Instruction of Patients. A Study of Doctor-Patient Rapport. *N Engl J Med* 1964;270:825-7.
 13. Merandy K, Morgan MA, Lee R, et al. Improving Self-Efficacy and Self-Care in Adult Patients With a Urinary Diversion: A Pilot Study. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2017;44:E90-100.
 14. Ali NS, Khalil HZ. Effect of psychoeducational intervention on anxiety among Egyptian bladder cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 1989;12:236-42.
 15. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Elective Colorectal Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS((R))) Society Recommendations: 2018. *World J Surg* 2019;43:659-95.
 16. Hollenbeck BK, Miller DC, Taub D, et al. Identifying risk factors for potentially avoidable complications following radical cystectomy. *J Urol* 2005;174:1231-7.
 17. Jensen BT, Petersen AK, Jensen JB, et al. Efficacy of a multiprofessional rehabilitation programme in radical cystectomy pathways: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Urol* 2015;49:133-41.
 18. Thomsen T, Villebro N, Moller AM. Interventions for preoperative smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;(3):CD002294.
 19. Leow JJ, Gandaglia G, Sood A, et al. Readmissions after major urologic cancer surgery. *Can J Urol* 2014;21:7537-46.
 20. Tonnesen H, Kehlet H. Preoperative alcoholism and postoperative morbidity. *Br J Surg* 1999;86:869-74.
 21. Gregg JR, Cookson MS, Phillips S, et al. Effect of preoperative nutritional deficiency on mortality after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *J Urol* 2011;185:90-6.
 22. Xu R, Zhao X, Zhong Z, et al. No advantage is gained by preoperative bowel preparation in radical cystectomy and ileal conduit: a randomized controlled trial of 86 patients. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2010;42:947-50.
 23. Hashad MM, Atta M, Elabbady A, et al. Safety of no bowel preparation before ileal urinary diversion. *BJU Int* 2012;110:E1109-13.
 24. Güenaga KF, Matos D, Wille-Jørgensen P. Mechanical bowel preparation for elective colorectal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;(9):CD001544.
 25. Adding C, Collins JW, Laurin O, et al. Wiklund NP. Enhanced recovery protocols (ERP) in robotic cystectomy surgery. Review of current status and trends. *Curr Urol Rep* 2015;16:32.
 26. Bilku DK, Dennison AR, Hall TC, et al. Role of preoperative carbohydrate loading: a systematic review. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2014;96:15-22.
 27. Smith I, Kranke P, Murat I, et al. Perioperative fasting in adults and children: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2011;28:556-69.
 28. Walker KJ, Smith AF. Premedication for anxiety in adult day surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(4):CD002192.
 29. Alberts BD, Woldu SL, Weinberg AC, et al. Venous thromboembolism after major urologic oncology surgery: a focus on the incidence and timing of thromboembolic events after 27,455 operations. *Urology* 2014;84:799-806.
 30. Tyson MD, Castle EP, Humphreys MR, et al. Venous thromboembolism after urological surgery. *J Urol* 2014;192:793-7.
 31. Nix J, Smith A, Kurpad R, et al. Prospective randomized controlled trial of robotic versus open radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: perioperative and pathologic results. *Eur Urol* 2010;57:196-201.
 32. Novara G, Catto JW, Wilson T, et al. Systematic review and cumulative analysis of perioperative outcomes and

- complications after robot-assisted radical cystectomy. *Eur Urol* 2015;67:376-401.
33. Mattei A, Birkhaeuser FD, Baermann C, et al. To stent or not to stent perioperatively the ureteroileal anastomosis of ileal orthotopic bladder substitutes and ileal conduits? Results of a prospective randomized trial. *J Urol* 2008;179:582-6.
 34. Karliczek A, Jesus EC, Matos D, et al. Drainage or nondrainage in elective colorectal anastomosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Colorectal Dis* 2006;8:259-65.
 35. Collins JW, Patel H, Adding C, et al. Enhanced Recovery After Robot-assisted Radical Cystectomy: EAU Robotic Urology Section Scientific Working Group Consensus View. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:649-60.
 36. Azhar RA, Bochner B, Catto J, et al. Enhanced Recovery after Urological Surgery: A Contemporary Systematic Review of Outcomes, Key Elements, and Research Needs. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:176-87.
 37. Giglio M, Manca F, Dalfino L, et al. Perioperative hemodynamic goal-directed therapy and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regression. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2016;82:1199-213.
 38. Pillai P, McELeavy I, Gaughan M, et al. A double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial to assess the effect of Doppler optimized intraoperative fluid management on outcome following radical cystectomy. *J Urol* 2011;186:2201-6.
 39. Carli F, Kehlet H, Baldini G, et al. Evidence basis for regional anesthesia in multidisciplinary fast-track surgical care pathways. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2011;36:63-72.
 40. Toren P, Ladak S, Ma C, et al. Comparison of epidural and intravenous patient controlled analgesia in patients undergoing radical cystectomy. *Can J Urol* 2009;16:4716-20.
 41. Maffezzini M, Campodonico F, Capponi G, et al. Fast-track surgery and technical nuances to reduce complications after radical cystectomy and intestinal urinary diversion with the modified Indiana pouch. *Surg Oncol* 2012;21:191-5.
 42. Collins JW, Adding C, Hosseini A, et al. Introducing an enhanced recovery programme to an established totally intracorporeal robot-assisted radical cystectomy service. *Scand J Urol* 2016;50:39-46.
 43. López-Olaondo L, Carrascosa F, Pueyo FJ, et al. Combination of ondansetron and dexamethasone in the prophylaxis of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Br J Anaesth* 1996;76:835-40.
 44. Chang SS, Cookson MS, Baumgartner RG, et al. Analysis of early complications after radical cystectomy: results of a collaborative care pathway. *J Urol* 2002;167:2012-6.
 45. Correia MI, da Silva RG. The impact of early nutrition on metabolic response and postoperative ileus. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2004;7:577-83.
 46. Choi H, Kang SH, Yoon DK, et al. Chewing gum has a stimulatory effect on bowel motility in patients after open or robotic radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a prospective randomized comparative study. *Urology* 2011;77:884-90.
 47. Fitzgerald JE, Ahmed I. Systematic review and meta-analysis of chewing-gum therapy in the reduction of postoperative paralytic ileus following gastrointestinal surgery. *World J Surg* 2009;33:2557-66.
 48. Zingg U, Miskovic D, Pasternak I, et al. Effect of bisacodyl on postoperative bowel motility in elective colorectal surgery: a prospective, randomized trial. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2008;23:1175-83.
 49. Lee CT, Chang SS, Kamat AM, et al. Alvimopan accelerates gastrointestinal recovery after radical cystectomy: a multicenter randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Eur Urol* 2014;66:265-72.
 50. Lewis SJ, Andersen HK, Thomas S. Early enteral nutrition within 24 h of intestinal surgery versus later commencement of feeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:569-75.
 51. Schroeder D, Gillanders L, Mahr K, et al. Effects of immediate postoperative enteral nutrition on body composition, muscle function, and wound healing. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1991;15:376-83.
 52. Osland E, Yunus RM, Khan S, et al. Early versus traditional postoperative feeding in patients undergoing resectional gastrointestinal surgery: a meta-analysis. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2011;35:473-87.
 53. Behrns KE, Kircher AP, Galanko JA, et al. Prospective randomized trial of early initiation and hospital discharge on a liquid diet following elective intestinal surgery. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2000;4:217-21.
 54. Fearon KC, Luff R. The nutritional management of surgical patients: enhanced recovery after surgery. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2003;62:807-11.
 55. Vlug MS, Wind J, Hollmann MW, et al. Laparoscopy in combination with fast track multimodal management is the best perioperative strategy in patients undergoing colonic surgery: a randomized clinical trial (LAFa-study). *Ann Surg* 2011;254:868-75.
 56. Patel HR, Cerantola Y, Valerio M, et al. Enhanced

- recovery after surgery: are we ready, and can we afford not to implement these pathways for patients undergoing radical cystectomy? *Eur Urol* 2014;65:263-6.
57. Klein S, Kinney J, Jeejeebhoy K, et al. Nutrition support in clinical practice: review of published data and recommendations for future research directions. Summary of a conference sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition, and American Society for Clinical Nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;66:683-706.
 58. Tamhankar AS, Ahluwalia P, Patil SR, et al. Implementation of ERAS protocol in robot-assisted radical cystectomy with intracorporeal ileal conduit urinary diversion: An outcome analysis beyond the learning curve. *Indian J Urol* 2020;36:37-43.
 59. Ghoreifi A, Van Horn CM, Xu W, et al. Urinary tract infections following radical cystectomy with enhanced recovery protocol: A prospective study. *Urol Oncol* 2020;38:75.e9-75.e14.
 60. Ziegelmueller BK, Jokisch JF, Buchner A, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up and Oncological Outcome of Patients Undergoing Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer following an Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) Protocol: Results of a Large Randomized, Prospective, Single-Center Study. *Urol Int* 2019. [Epub ahead of print].
 61. Kotov SV, Khachatryan AL, Kotova DP, et al. Analysis of the results of ERAS protocol in real-life clinical practice AFTER radical cystectomy (the first prospective multicenter study in Russia). *Urologiia* 2019;(6):60-6.
 62. Dunkman WJ, Manning MW, Whittle J, et al. Impact of an enhanced recovery pathway on length of stay and complications in elective radical cystectomy: a before and after cohort study. *Perioper Med (Lond)* 2019;8:9.
 63. Cheng KW, Shah A, Bazargani S, et al. Factors influencing ICU admission and associated outcome in patients undergoing radical cystectomy with enhanced recovery pathway. *Urol Oncol* 2019;37:572.e13-572.e19.
 64. Zainfeld D, Chen J, Cai J, et al. The impact of patient-related nonmodifiable factors on perioperative outcomes following radical cystectomy with enhanced recovery protocol. *Ther Adv Urol* 2018;10:393-401.
 65. Tan WS, Tan MY, Lamb BW, et al. Intracorporeal robot-assisted radical cystectomy, together with an enhanced recovery programme, improves postoperative outcomes by aggregating marginal gains. *BJU Int* 2018;121:632-9.
 66. Semerjian A, Milbar N, Kates M, et al. Hospital Charges and Length of Stay Following Radical Cystectomy in the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Era. *Urology* 2018;111:86-91.
 67. Patel SY, Garcia Getting RE, Alford B, et al. Improved Outcomes of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Protocol for Radical Cystectomy with Addition of a Multidisciplinary Care Process in a US Comprehensive Cancer Care Center. *World J Surg* 2018;42:2701-7.
 68. Pang KH, Groves R, Venugopal S, et al. Prospective Implementation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocols to Radical Cystectomy. *Eur Urol* 2018;73:363-71.
 69. Palumbo V, Giannarini G, Crestani A, et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Pathway in Patients Undergoing Open Radical Cystectomy Is Safe and Accelerates Bowel Function Recovery. *Urology* 2018;115:125-32.
 70. Matulewicz RS, Patel M, Jordan BJ, et al. Transversus Abdominis Plane Blockade as Part of a Multimodal Postoperative Analgesia Plan in Patients Undergoing Radical Cystectomy. *Bladder Cancer* 2018;4:161-7.
 71. Liu B, Domes T, Jana K. Evaluation of an enhanced recovery protocol on patients having radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
 72. Kukreja JB, Shi Q, Chang CM, et al. Patient-Reported Outcomes Are Associated With Enhanced Recovery Status in Patients With Bladder Cancer Undergoing Radical Cystectomy. *Surg Innov* 2018;25:242-50.
 73. Frees SK, Aning J, Black P, et al. A prospective randomized pilot study evaluating an ERAS protocol versus a standard protocol for patients treated with radical cystectomy and urinary diversion for bladder cancer. *World J Urol* 2018;36:215-20.
 74. Bazargani ST, Ghodoussipour S, Tse B, et al. The association between intraoperative fluid intake and postoperative complications in patients undergoing radical cystectomy with an enhanced recovery protocol. *World J Urol* 2018;36:401-7.
 75. Bazargani ST, Djaladat H, Ahmadi H, et al. Gastrointestinal Complications Following Radical Cystectomy Using Enhanced Recovery Protocol. *Eur Urol Focus* 2018;4:889-94.
 76. Djaladat H, Katebian B, Bazargani ST, et al. 90-Day complication rate in patients undergoing radical cystectomy with enhanced recovery protocol: a prospective cohort study. *World J Urol* 2017;35:907-11.
 77. Baack Kukreja JE, Kiernan M, Schempp B, et al. Quality Improvement in Cystectomy Care with Enhanced

- Recovery (QUICCER) study. *BJU Int* 2017;119:38-49.
78. Xu W, Daneshmand S, Bazargani ST, et al. Postoperative Pain Management after Radical Cystectomy: Comparing Traditional versus Enhanced Recovery Protocol Pathway. *J Urol* 2015;194:1209-13.
79. Giannarini G, Crestani A, Inferrera A, et al. Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery protocols versus standard of care on perioperative outcomes of radical cystectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 2019;71:309-23.

Cite this article as: Sung LH, Yuk HD. Enhanced recovery after surgery of patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *Transl Androl Urol* 2020. doi: 10.21037/tau.2020.03.44