Two cases of psychogenic anejaculation patients got normal ejaculation ability after penile vibratory stimulation or electroejaculation
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Abstract: Psychogenic anejaculation is a unique problem which accounts for 0.4–0.5% of anejaculation patients. Although patients with this problem may experience erections and nocturnal emissions (NEs), they do not experience ejaculation during either masturbation or coitus. The etiology is presently unknown. Penile vibratory stimulation (PVS) and electroejaculation (EEJ) have been the main methods of sperm retrieval for psychogenic anejaculation couples undergoing assisted reproduction technology (ART). However, there is no special treatment which can heal psychogenic anejaculation patients. Here, we report two psychogenic anejaculation patients who recovered normal ejaculation ability after PVS or EEJ procedure.
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Introduction

Anejaculation is the complete absence of an antegrade or retrograde ejaculation despite an erection and is a rare cause of male infertility, accounting for 2% of all cases (1,2). The cause of anejaculation is usually organic in nature such as spinal cord injury (SCI) (3,4), retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (5), diabetes mellitus (6) and multiple sclerosis (7). However, if there is no cause, then it is considered psychogenic which is also known as idiopathic.

Psychogenic anejaculation is a unique problem which accounts for 0.4–0.5% of anejaculation patients (2). Although patients with this problem may experience erections and nocturnal emissions (NEs), they do not experience ejaculation during either masturbation or coitus (8-12). The etiology is presently unknown, but according to earlier papers, it may be associated with psychological factors such as cultural or religious beliefs, fear of inducing pregnancy, or emotional distress. Penile vibratory stimulation (PVS) and electroejaculation (EEJ) have been the main methods of sperm retrieval for psychogenic anejaculation couples undergoing assisted reproduction technology (ART). However, there is still no special treatment which can heal psychogenic anejaculation patients. Here, we report two psychogenic anejaculation patients who recovered normal ejaculation ability after PVS or EEJ procedure.

Case presentation

We present two patients referred to our department for anejaculation. Both had normal libido and had intercourse with their girlfriend or wife about twice a week. They never ejaculated during either coitus or masturbation. They experienced NEs on an average of three to five times per month after puberty. Physical examination of the men showed normal genitalia and no signs of endocrinological or neurological abnormalities. The levels of testosterone,
follicle-stimulation hormone, luteinizing hormone, and prolactin were within the normal range. Retrograde ejaculation was ruled out by postcoital urine analysis. According to their medical history, the diagnosis of psychogenic anejaculation was established. The two patients selected PVS or EEJ to retrieve their semen for purposes of ART, respectively.

**Case 1**

A healthy 25-year-old male was diagnosed with psychogenic anejaculation at our department. He worked as an office clerk and had a girlfriend for 3 years, but they parted later due to the anejaculation.

A trial for a PVS procedure as described previously (13) was offered as the first-line treatment which led to his first ejaculation while awake in 30 min resulting in an ejaculate of 2.0 mL with a concentration of $532.78 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL and a poor progressive sperm motility of 1.42%. Because of the poor semen quality, he did a second PVS procedure 5 days later which also succeeded after 30 min resulting in an ejaculate of 3.8 mL with a concentration of $243.23 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL and a progressive sperm motility of 26.93%. Four days later, he told us that he could ejaculate by masturbation. We then asked him to masturbate in the hospital which succeeded in about 5 mins resulting in an ejaculate of 1.0 mL and a concentration of $344.36 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL and a progressive sperm motility of 60.08%. Because he did not have a girlfriend at that time, we did not know whether he could ejaculate by coitus. With close regular follow-up, he told us that he could ejaculate with his second girlfriend by coitus. At the time writing, he could ejaculate by masturbation and coitus.

**Case 2**

A healthy 26-year-old male with his 25-year-old wife was referred to our department for infertility secondary to psychogenic anejaculation. He had been married for 5 years. The 25-year-old wife had regular menstrual cycles. Her general and gynecological examination was normal.

Unlike case 1, the couples selected EEJ to retrieve their semen for its high success rate. The EEJ procedure, as described previously (13), was performed under general anesthesia which resulted in an ejaculate of about 0.3 mL with a concentration of $7.78 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL and no progressive sperm. We asked him to do another EEJ after 2 weeks. However, after 1 week, he told us that he could ejaculate through masturbation and coitus with his wife. We then asked him to masturbate in the hospital, which succeeded in about 5 mins resulting in an ejaculate of 1.0 mL with a concentration of $54.47 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL and a progressive sperm motility of 33.19%. With close regular follow-up, he told us that his wife finally became pregnant and gave birth to a healthy baby.

**Discussion**

There is no special treatment for psychogenic anejaculation patients. Most cases require medical assistance. PVS and EEJ methods have been the main methods of sperm retrieval for psychogenic anejaculation couples undergoing ART. Nowadays, studies only focus on the semen quality and pregnancy rate of different semen retrieve methods for psychogenic anejaculation (8-12). However, there is little information about what happens to these psychogenic anejaculation patients after PVS or EEJ procedure.

As we all know, ejaculation is a physiological process controlled by spinal and supraspinal sites (brainstem and hypothalamus) which consists of two phases, emission (secretion and movement of seminal fluids to the urethra) and expulsion (forceful ejection of urethral contents). Failure in the initial step leads to anejaculation (14).

According to our two psychogenic anejaculation cases, one patient was treated by PVS while awake. Meanwhile, the other patient was treated by EEJ under general anesthesia; however, both were able to achieve normal ejaculation ability and did not receive any other treatments. So, we hypothesize this may be related to the regulation of the spinal and not supraspinal sites.

Lumbar spinothalamic (LSt) cells, also known as spinal ejaculation generators, were first discovered by Truitt and Coolen within the central gray area of lumbar segments L3–L4 which play a pivotal role in generation of ejaculation (15). They receive stimulation related to onset of ejaculation and, in turn, trigger the ejaculatory reflex. LSt cells can coordinate sympathetic, parasympathetic, and motor outflow to induce the two phases of ejaculation (15,16).

Allard et al. hypothesized that rapid ejaculation might be related to hypersensitivity of LSt cells (17). In other words, anejaculation might be due to a hyposensitivity of LSt cells. In our study, the recovery of the two patients’ ejaculation ability after strong stimulation might be related to the activation of LSt cells. Hence, psychogenic anejaculation may be related to the dysfunction of LSt cells. And this may be why psychotherapies are not effective in these patients.
There may be several reasons for why there are no studies reporting this phenomenon. Firstly, the incidence of psychogenic anejaculation is exceptionally low, which has not attracted the attention of researchers. The second reason is that all published studies only focus on the semen quality and pregnancy rate of different semen retrieval methods for psychogenic anejaculation. There is little information about what happens to these patients after semen retrieval. The third reason may be related to the abstinence of psychogenic anejaculation patients when their partners become pregnant after ART.

Also, there were limitations to our study. First, it was a case report and retrospective study. There still need further and large-scale investigations. Second, we could not identify the exact mechanism that would explain why the two patients could recover normal ejaculation ability after PVS or EEJ procedures while other psychogenic anejaculation patients could not.

In summary, our work suggests that PVS and EEJ are not only just methods to retrieve semen samples but can also heal certain psychogenic anejaculation patients. These findings may have potential significance for encouraging urologists and andrologists to study the etiology of psychogenic anejaculation further.
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